# CSE332 Data Abstractions, Spring 2010 Homework 7

Due: Friday, May 28, 2010 at the <u>beginning</u> of class. Your work should be readable as well as correct. This assignment has 4 problems.

## Problem 1. Parallel Prefix and Filter

In this problem, the input is an array of strings and the output is an array of integers. The output has the length of each string in the input, but empty strings are filtered out. For example:

["", "", "cse", "rox", "", "homework", "", "7", ""]

produces output:

[3,3,8,1]

A parallel algorithm can solve this problem in  $O(\log n)$  span and O(n) work by doing a parallel map to produce a bit vector, followed by a parallel prefix over the bit vector, followed by a parallel map to produce the output.

Show the intermediate steps for the algorithm described above on the example above. For each step, show the tree of recursive task objects that would be created (where a node's child is for two problems of half the size) and the fields each node needs. Do not use a sequential cut-off. Show three separate trees (for the three steps). Explain briefly what each field represents.

Note that because the input length is not a power of two, the tree will not have all its leaves at exactly the same height.

#### Problem 2. Parallel Quicksort

Lecture presented a parallel version of quicksort with *best-case*  $O(\log^2 n)$  span and  $O(n \log n)$  work. This algorithm used parallelism for the two recursive sorting calls and the partition.

- (a) For the algorithm from lecture, what is the asymptotic *worst-case* span and work. Justify your answer.
- (b) Suppose we use the parallel partition part of the algorithm, but perform the two recursive calls in sequence rather than parallel.
  - i. What is the asymptotic best-case span and work? Justify your answer.
  - ii. What is the asymptotic worst-case span and work? Justify your answer.

### Problem 3. Another Wrong Bank Account

Note: The purpose of this problem is to show you something you should not do because it does not work. Consider this pseudocode for a bank account supporting concurrent access:

```
class BankAccount {
  private int balance = 0;
  private Lock lk = new Lock();
  int getBalance() {
    lk.acquire();
    int ans = balance;
    lk.release();
    return ans;
  }
  void setBalance(int x) {
    lk.acquire();
    balance = x;
    lk.release();
  }
  void withdraw(int amount) {
    lk.acquire();
    int b = getBalance();
    if(amount > b) {
      lk.release();
      throw new WithdrawTooLargeException();
    }
    setBalance(b - amount);
    lk.release();
 }
}
```

The code above is wrong if locks are *not* re-entrant. Consider the *absolutely horrible idea* of "fixing" this problem by rewriting the **withdraw** method to be:

```
void withdraw(int amount) {
    lk.acquire();
    lk.release();
    int b = getBalance();
    lk.acquire();
    if(amount > b) {
        lk.release();
        throw new WithdrawTooLargeException();
    }
    lk.release();
    setBalance(b - amount);
    lk.acquire();
    lk.release();
}
```

- (a) Explain how this approach prevents blocking forever unlike the original code.
- (b) Show this approach is incorrect by giving an interleaving of two threads in which a withdrawal is forgotten.

#### Problem 4. Concurrent Queue with Two Stacks

Consider this Java implementation of a queue with two stacks. We do not show the entire stack implementation, but assume it is correct. Notice the stack has synchronized methods but the queue does not. The queue is incorrect in a concurrent setting.

```
class Stack<E> {
                                               class Queue<E> {
                                                 Stack<E> in = new Stack<E>();
  . . .
  synchronized boolean isEmpty() { ... }
                                                 Stack<E> out = new Stack<E>();
  synchronized E pop() { ... }
                                                 void enqueue(E x){ in.push(x); }
  synchronized void push(E x) { ... }
                                                 E dequeue() {
}
                                                    if(out.isEmpty()) {
                                                     while(!in.isEmpty()) {
                                                        out.push(in.pop());
                                                     }
                                                   }
                                                   return out.pop();
                                                 }
                                               }
```

- (a) Show the queue is incorrect by showing an interleaving that meets the following criteria:
  - i. Only one thread ever performs enqueue operations and that thread enqueues numbers in increasing order (1, 2, 3, ...).
  - ii. There is a thread that performs two dequeue operations such that its first dequeue returns a number larger than its second dequeue, which should never happen.
  - iii. Every dequeue succeeds (the queue is never empty).

Your solution can use 1 or more additional threads that perform dequeue operations.

- (b) A simple fix would make enqueue and dequeue synchronized methods. Explain why this would never allow an enqueue and dequeue to happen at the same time.
- (c) To try to support allowing an enqueue and a dequeue to happen at the same time when out is not empty, we could try either of the approaches below for dequeue. For each, show an interleaving with one or more other operations to demonstrate the approach is broken. Make sure your interleaving violates the FIFO order of a queue.

E dequeue() {	E dequeue() {
<pre>synchronized(out) {</pre>	<pre>synchronized(in) {</pre>
if(out.isEmpty()) {	if(out.isEmpty()) {
<pre>while(!in.isEmpty()) {</pre>	<pre>while(!in.isEmpty()) {</pre>
<pre>out.push(in.pop());</pre>	<pre>out.push(in.pop());</pre>
}	}
}	}
<pre>return out.pop();</pre>	}
}	<pre>return out.pop();</pre>
}	}

(d) Provide a solution that correctly supports allowing an **enqueue** and a **dequeue** to happen at the same time when **out** is not empty. Your solution should define **dequeue** and involve multiple locks.