

CSE 331

Course Review

Kevin Zatloukal

Administrivia: Course Evals

- Would like to get above 50%
 - more statistical sample
- Looking for feedback on first attempt at this course
 - know about a few stumbles
 HW2: need to explain pattern matching better
 HW8: need to explain POST requests better
- Will make it your time to write an eval

Administrivia: Final Exam

- Both exams are on Tuesday
 - B section at 2:30 in Kane 110
 - A section at 4:30 in Kane 110
- Will be like the midterm but longer (110 minutes)
 - emphasis on the same core reasoning skills
 - ideally more comprehensive

Administrivia: Final Exam

- 6–7 problems
- 4 problems like the midterm
 - 1. Correctness of a complex loop
 - 2. Writing a loop correctly given the invariant
 - 3. Writing code correctly given no invariant
 - 4. Testing

• 2–3 more on

- things we skipped in midterm: ADT reasoning, induction
- things covered more recently: subtyping, equality, design patterns
- more like the midterm (!) or anything else

core material

Debugging is No Fun

- Code with mutable state often involves debugging
 - saw this in HW7-9
- Gets even worse as the program gets larger
 - lots more parts that can fail
 - lots more code to search through
- Only time spent debugging makes you hate debugging
 - watching a lecture won't do it

Engineers Are Paid to Think

- For complex code, reasoning is not optional
 - going to do the reasoning eventually

complex code is not correct by accident

- choice is between
 - 1. reasoning
 - 2. debugging and then reasoning
- Easier to get it right the first time!

To teach you to the skills necessary to write programs at the level of a professional software engineer

Specifically, we will teach the skills to write code that is

- correct
- easy to understand
- easy to change
- modular

We will set an **extremely high bar** for correctness

Standard Techniques for Correctness

Standard practice uses three techniques:

- **Testing:** try it on a well-chosen set of examples
- **Tools:** type checker, libraries, etc.
- **Reasoning:** think through your code carefully
 - have another person do the same ("<u>code review</u>")

Each removes ~2/3rd bugs but of different kinds Combination removes >97% of bugs

- Saw one case with no tool support: client-server
- POST is "passing arguments" to the server
 - but there is no type information!

we must check all the types ourselves at runtime (or debug!)

• Type checking is very useful!

Reasoning

- Reasoning is the key skill of a programmer
 - "the Olympic athletes of forward reasoning" J. Wilcox
 - either reason now or after debugging
 - why we spent 12+ lectures on it
- Saw how mutation makes everything harder
 - most hard bugs in HW2 were mutation
 - most hard bugs in HW7 were mutation

some function was mutating one of its arguments when it shouldn't

most hard bugs in HW8-9 were mutation

components work by mutating this.state

• Pro Tip: limit mutation to make reasoning easier

Correctness Levels

Level	Description	Testing	Tools	Reasoning
-1	small # of inputs	exhaustive		
0	straight from spec	heuristics	type checking	code reviews
1	no mutation	u	libraries	calculation induction
2	local variable mutation	u	"	Floyd logic
3	array / object mutation	"	"	rep invariants
4	state in two programs	u	"	more invariants

Primary Topics

- Basics of correctness (tools, testing, & reasoning)
- Writing correct programs without mutation
- Writing correct programs with mutation
- Client & Server applications

Other Topics

- Abstraction
- Debugging
- Design Patterns

Tips

1. Know the Correctness Level

- Level –1 is especially important
 - no need for reasoning just look at it!
 - happens frequently with UI
 - look at it to see if it is right try it out to see if it transitions pages correctly
- Reasoning is only necessary at Level 1–4
 - spend your reasoning efforts only there
- At level 3–4, expect to debug
 - make sure all the helper functions are right before you start

- Do not make the level higher for no reason
 - do not mutate if you don't need to
 - do not introduce state when not necessary
 ex: passing data through a field rather than as an argument
 why do this to yourself?
- Bad programmers make easy problems hard
 - good programmers don't do that
 - plenty of problems to solve that are already hard

- Type checking catches many errors for you
 - see previous example about POST requests
- Do not purposefully ignore its help
 - no type checking means lots more debugging we all make lots of mistakes in these areas
 - saw plenty of "val: any" in HW8
 why do this to yourself?

4. Use Libraries When Available

- It is hard to get code working correctly
 - design, testing, reasoning, debugging
 - don't do all that work when you don't need to
- If someone already did the work, take advantage

- reduces the work to making sure you use it right

- Figure out what data you need to make the UI work
 - code follows from the data
- Server stores the permanent data
 - decide what operations are needed by the client
 - make sure you get these right!
- UI stores data necessary to render
 - everything on the UI is somewhere in the data

6. Start with Simple, Concrete Data Types

- Reasoning is easier with concrete data types
 - start there, whenever possible
- Hard for us to predict:
 - what will be slow
 - what users will like (see, e.g., ChatGPT)
- Avoid unnecessary work
 - not everything is an ADT
 - I'm talking to you, Java!
 - don't complicate things until you know you need to

don't prematurely optimize don't prematurely abstract

7. Hide Complex Data Structures in ADTs

- Introduce ADT on first change to data structures
 - if you change it once, you'll probably do it again
- Give a simple spec to clients
 - probably the initial concrete state is the abstract state
 - allow the clients to think about it as they did before
- Make sure you got it right before moving on debugging is hard enough already
- Rep invariants are the key to <u>complex</u> data structures
 - see, e.g., AVL trees

8. Hide Complex Loops in Helper Functions

- Think of a simple, declarative explanation for clients
 - don't let complexity leak everywhere else
- Make sure you got it right before moving on debugging is hard enough already
- Loop invariants are the key to <u>complex</u> loops
 - see, e.g., dynamic programs in CSE 421
 - write it down fully and check it carefully in every branch won't get it right by accident
 - human readers don't need invariants for every loop

9. Be Systematic When Debugging

- After 20 minutes, stop trying things randomly
- Check the easy stuff first
 - if the server needs restarting, reasoning is a waste of time
- Debugging happens when your knowledge is wrong
 - don't think "it can't be there"...
- Think through all the places the bug could be
 - start eliminating them one at a time
 - think of an experiment that will reduce the search

- First design is inevitably wrong in some ways
 - can only design perfectly when you've built that before
- Can't always guess on paper
 - need to try building it to see the key problems
- Design for changeability in the parts likely to change
 - put abstraction in place the first time you change it
 - (don't introduce abstraction unnecessarily)

Startups

Startups in 2021

	UW	Stanford
Funded Startups	70	465
Dedicated VC Funds	1	3

Some prominent examples...



Bill Gates & Paul Allen

Steve Jobs

Sergey Brin & Larry Page

Mark Zuckerberg

Jensen Huang

Morris Chang

Very Little Downside

- Starting a company has almost no downside
 - expected to fail
 - lose other people's money, not yours
- "Founder of X" looks great on a resume
 - demonstrates grit, risk-taking, etc.
 - many other important skills
- Main loss to you is the time spent

Don't Feel Weird Raising Funds

- Investors work for you
 - they join your team and are expected to help
 - the more they pay, the more you expect from them
- VCs can help you find customers, employees, etc.

Old saying in finance

When you owe the bank \$100, that's your problem. When you owe the bank \$100m, that's the bank's problem.

Advice for Starting a Company

- Advice from YC:
 - **1.** Build something people want
 - 2. Launch fast & iterate
 - 3. Write code & talk to users
 - 4. Find 10–100 people who love it
- Think of things you would like to use
 - or that improve the lives of others
- Can become for-profit or non-profit
 - hard to know up front what will work best