

CSE 331

Basics of Reasoning

Kevin Zatloukal

Review

- These three lectures
 - 1. Data types (data)
 - 2. Functions (code)
 - 3. Proofs (reasoning)
- Saw inductive data types
 - most primitive way to build new types
- Structurally recursive functions
 - safest type of recursion
 - only works for recursion on inductive types

- Basic inputs to reasoning are "facts"
 - things we know to be true about the variables
 - typically, "=" or "<" or " \leq "

```
// n must be a natural number
function f(n: number): number {
   const m = 2*n;
   return (m + 1) * (m - 1);
}
```

- At the return statement, we know these facts:
 - $-n \in \mathbb{N} \qquad (or n \in \mathbb{Z} and n \ge 0)$

- m = 2n

- Basic inputs to reasoning are "facts"
 - things we know to be true about the variables
 - typically, "=" or "<" or " \leq "

```
// n must be a natural number
function f(n: number): number {
   const m = 2*n;
   return (m + 1) * (m - 1);
}
```

- No need to include the fact that n is a number ($n \in \mathbb{R}$)
 - that is true, but the type checker takes care of that
 - no need to repeat reasoning done by the type checker

- We can use the facts we know to prove more facts
- If we can prove R using facts P and Q, we say that R "follows from" / "is implied by" P and Q
 - checking correctness is just proving implications
 - other reasoning tools output implications for us to prove
- The techniques we will learn are
 - proof by calculation
 - proof by cases
 - structural induction

gives us two implications, each usually proven by calculation

- Proves an implication
 - fact to be shown is an equation or inequality
- Uses known facts and definitions
 - latter includes, e.g., the fact that len(nil) = 0

Example Proof by Calculation

- Given x = y and z < 10, prove that x + z < y + 10
 - show the third fact follows from the first two
- Start from the left side of the inequality to be proved

x + z

Example Proof by Calculation

- Given x = y and z < 10, prove that x + z < y + 10
 - show the third fact follows from the first two
- Start from the left side of the inequality to be proved

x + z= y + zsince x = y< y + 10since z < 10

- "calculation block", includes explanations in right column

Calculation Blocks

- Chain of "=" shows first = last
 - $\begin{array}{ll} a & = b \\ & = c \\ & = d \end{array}$
 - proves that a = d
 - all 4 of these are the same number

Calculation Blocks

Chain of "=" and "<" shows <u>first</u> < <u>last</u>

x + z= y + zsince x = y< y + 10since z < 10= y + 3 + 7< w + 7< w + 7since y + 3 < w

each number is equal or strictly larger that previous

last number is strictly larger than the first number

- likewise for "=" and "≤"

numbers are equal or larger, so last number is largest

– analogous for ">" and "≥" cases

// Inputs x and y are positive integers
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 0" and "y > 0"
- Correct if the return value is a positive integer

x + y

// Inputs x and y are positive integers
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 0" and "y > 0"
- Correct if the return value is a positive integer

 x + y > x + 0 since y > 0

 = x > 0 since x > 0

- calculation shows that x + y > 0

// Inputs x and y are positive integers
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts " $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ " and " $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ "
- Correct if the return value is a positive <u>integer</u>
 - we know that "x + y" is an integer
 - should be second nature from Java programming
 - unless there is *division* involved, we will skip this

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 9 and y > -9
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 9" and "y > -9"
- Correct if the return value is a positive integer

x + y

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 9 and y > -9
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 9" and "y > -9"
- Correct if the return value is a positive integer

 x + y > x + -9 since y > -9

 > 9 - 9 since x > 9

 = 0

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 3 and y > 4
// Returns an integer that is 10 or larger.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 3" and "y > 4"
- Correct if the return value is 10 or larger

x + y

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 3 and y > 4
// Returns an integer that is 10 or larger.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 3" and "y > 4"
- Correct if the return value is 10 or larger

x + y > x + 4 since y > 4> 3 + 4 since x > 3= 7

proof doesn't work because the code is wrong!

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 8 and y > -9
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 8" and "y > -9"
- Correct if the return value is a positive integer

x + y

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 8 and y > -9
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

- Known facts "x > 8" and "y > -9"
- Correct if the return value is a positive integer

 x + y > x + -9 since y > -9

 > 8 - 9 since x > 8

 = -1

proof doesn't work because the **proof is insufficient**

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 8 and y > -9
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

• Known facts "x > 8" and "y > -9"

- equivalent (since these are integers) to $x \ge 9$ and $y \ge -8$

Correct if the return value is a positive integer

x + y

// Inputs x and y are integers with x > 8 and y > -9
// Returns a positive integer.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
 return x + y;
}

• Known facts "x > 8" and "y > -9"

- equivalent (since these are integers) to $x \ge 9$ and $y \ge -8$

Correct if the return value is a positive integer

$$x + y$$
 $\geq x + -8$ since $y \geq -8$ $\geq 9 - 8$ since $x \geq 9$ $= 1$ > 0

- If the proof works, the code is correct
 - why reasoning is useful for finding bugs
- If the code is incorrect, the proof will not work
- If the proof does not work, then either
 - **1.** the code is wrong or
 - **2.** the proof is insufficient (too weak)
 - need to think to figure out which
 - (but it's usually because the code is wrong)

```
// Inputs x and y are integers.
// Returns a number less than x.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
  if (y < 0) {
    return x + y;
  } else {
    return x - 1;
  }
}
```

• Known fact in then branch "y < 0"

x + y

```
// Inputs x and y are integers.
// Returns a number less than x.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
  if (y < 0) {
    return x + y;
  } else {
    return x - 1;
  }
}
```

• Known fact in then branch "y < 0"

$$\begin{array}{ll} x+y & < x+0 & \text{since } y < 0 \\ & = x \end{array}$$

```
// Inputs x and y are integers.
// Returns a number less than x.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
  if (y < 0) {
    return x + y;
  } else {
    return x - 1;
  }
}
```

• Known fact in else branch " $y \ge 0$ "

x – 1

```
// Inputs x and y are integers.
// Returns a number less than x.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
  if (y < 0) {
    return x + y;
  } else {
    return x - 1;
  }
}
```

• Known fact in else branch " $y \ge 0$ "

$$x - 1 < x + 0$$
 since $-1 < 0$
= x

```
// Inputs x and y are integers.
// Returns a number less than x.
function f(x: number, y, number): number {
  if (y < 0) {
    return x + y;
  } else {
    return x - 1;
  }
}
```

- Conditionals give us extra known facts
 - get known facts from
 - **1**. specification
 - 2. conditionals
 - 3. constant declarations

Using Definitions in Calculations

- Most useful with function calls
 - cite the definition of the function to get the return value
- For example

func sum(nil):= 0sum(cons(x, L)):= x + sum(L)for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $L \in List$

- Can cite facts such as
 - $\operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{nil}) = 0$
 - $\operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{cons}(a, \operatorname{cons}(b, \operatorname{nil}))) = a + \operatorname{sum}(\operatorname{cons}(b, \operatorname{nil}))$

second case of definition with x = a and L = cons(b, nil)

Using Definitions in Calculations

func sum(nil) := 0 sum(cons(x, L)) := x + sum(L)

for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $L \in List$

Consider this code

•••

```
// Inputs a and b must be integers.
// Returns a non-negative integer.
function f(a: number, b: number): number {
  const L: List = cons(a, cons(b, nil));
  if (a >= 0 && b >= 0)
    return sum(L);
```

• Known facts include " $a \ge 0$ ", " $b \ge 0$ ", and "L = cons(...)"

func sum(nil):= 0sum(cons(x, L)):= x + sum(L)for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $L \in List$

- Know " $a \ge 0$ ", " $b \ge 0$ ", and "L = cons(a, cons(b, nil))"
- Prove the return value is non-negative

sum(L)

func sum(nil):= 0sum(cons(x, L)):= x + sum(L)for any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $L \in List$

- Know " $a \ge 0$ ", " $b \ge 0$ ", and "L = cons(a, cons(b, nil))"
- Prove the return value is non-negative
 - sum(L)= sum(cons(a, cons(b, nil)))since L = cons(a, cons(b, nil)))= a + sum(cons(b, nil)))def of sum= a + b + sum(nil)def of sum= a + bdef of sum $\geq 0 + b$ since $a \geq 0$ ≥ 0 since $b \geq 0$