The limits of scaling

What prevents us from building huge, intricate structures that work perfectly and indefinitely?

- No friction
- No gravity
- No wear-and-tear

... it’s the difficulty of understanding them

So we split designs into sensible parts and reduce interaction among the parts

- More cohesion within parts
- Less coupling across parts
Design exercise #1

Write a typing-break reminder program

*Offer the hard-working user occasional reminders of the perils of Repetitive Strain Injury, and encourage the user to take a break from typing.*

Naive design:

- Make a method to display messages and offer exercises
- Make a loop to call that method from time to time

(Let's ignore multi-threaded solutions for this discussion)
TimeToStretch suggests exercises

public class TimeToStretch {
    public void run() {
        System.out.println("Stop typing!");
        suggestExercise();
    }
    public void suggestExercise() {
        ...
    }
}
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Timer calls run() periodically

```java
public class Timer {
    private TimeToStretch tts = new TimeToStretch();
    public void start() {
        while (true) {
            ...
            if (enoughTimeHasPassed) {
                tts.run();
            }
            ...
        }
    }
}
```
Main class puts it together

class Main {
    public static void main(String[] args) {
        Timer t = new Timer();
        t.start();
    }
}

This program, as designed, will work...
    But we can do better
Module dependency diagram (MDD)

An arrow in a module dependency diagram (MDD) indicates “depends on” or “knows about”
– Simplistically: “any name mentioned in the source code”

What’s wrong with this diagram?
– Does Timer really need to depend on TimeToStretch?
– Is Timer re-usable in a new context?
Decoupling

Timer needs to call the run method
- Timer does not need to know what the run method does

Weaken the dependency of Timer on TimeToStretch
- Introduce a weaker specification, in the form of an interface or abstract class

    public abstract class TimerTask {
        public abstract void run();
    }

Timer only needs to know that something (e.g., TimeToStretch) meets the TimerTask specification
public class TimeToStretch extends TimerTask {
    public void run() {
        System.out.println("Stop typing!");
        suggestExercise();
    }

    public void suggestExercise() {
        ...
    }
}
public class Timer {
    private TimerTask task;
    public Timer(TimerTask task) {
        this.task = task;
    }
    public void start() {
        while (true) {
            ... 
            task.run();
        }
    }
}

Main creates a TimeToStretch object and passes it to Timer:

    Timer t = new Timer(new TimeToStretch());
    t.start();
Module dependency diagram (version 2)

- **Timer** depends on **TimerTask**, not **TimeToStretch**
  - Unaffected by implementation details of **TimeToStretch**
  - Now **Timer** is much easier to reuse
  - **Main** depends on the constructor for **TimeToStretch**
- **Main** still depends on **Timer** (is this necessary?)

[Diagram showing dependency relationships between Main, TimerTask, TimeToStretch, Timer, with arrows indicating Subclassing and Dependence.]
The callback design pattern

**TimeToStretch** creates a **Timer**, and passes in a reference to *itself* so the **Timer** can *call it back*

- This is a *callback* – a method call from a module to a client that it notifies about some condition

Use a callback to *invert a dependency*

- Inverted dependency: **TimeToStretch** depends on **Timer** (not vice versa)
  - Less obvious coding style, but more “natural” dependency
- Side benefit: **Main** does not depend on **Timer**
Callbacks

Callback: “Code” provided by client to be used by library
  • In Java, pass an object with the “code” in a method

Synchronous callbacks:
  • Examples: HashMap calls its client’s hashCode, equals
  • Useful when library needs the callback result immediately

Asynchronous callbacks:
  • Examples: GUI listeners
  • Register to indicate interest and where to call back
  • Useful when the callback should be performed later, when some interesting event occurs
public class TimeToStretch extends TimerTask {
    private Timer timer;
    public TimeToStretch() {
        timer = new Timer(this);
    }
    public void start() {
        timer.start();
    }
    public void run() {
        System.out.println("Stop typing!");
        suggestExercise();
    }
    ...
}
Main (version 3)

```java
TimeToStretch tts = new TimeToStretch();
tts.start();

- Uses a callback in TimeToStretch to invert a dependency
- This MDD shows the inversion of the dependency between Timer and TimeToStretch (compare to version 1)
```

Diagram:
- Main does not depend on Timer
- TimeToStretch depends on Timer
- Main
- Timer
- TimerTask
- TimeToStretch
Decoupling and design

- A good design has dependences (coupling) only where it makes sense

- While you design (before you code), examine dependences

- Don’t introduce unnecessary coupling

- Coupling is an easy temptation if you code first
  - Suppose a method needs information from another object:
  - If you hack in a way to get it:
    - The hack might be easy to write
    - It will damage the code’s modularity and reusability
    - More complex code is harder to understand
Design exercise #2

A program to display information about stocks
- Stock tickers
- Spreadsheets
- Graphs

Naive design:
- Make a class to represent stock information
- That class updates all views of that information (tickers, graphs, etc.) when it changes
Main class gathers information and stores in **Stocks**
- **Stocks** class updates viewers when necessary

Problem: To add/change a viewer, must change **Stocks**
Better: insulate **Stocks** from the vagaries of the viewers
Weaken the coupling

What should Stocks class know about viewers?

– Only needs an update method to call with changed data
– Old way:

```java
void updateViewers() {
    ticker.update(newPrice);
    spreadsheet.update(newPrice);
    graph.update(newPrice);
    // Edit this method to
    // add a new viewer. 😞
}
```
Weaken the coupling

What should Stocks class know about viewers?
- Only needs an `update` method to call with changed data
- New way: The “observer pattern”

```java
interface PriceObserver {
    void update(PriceInfo pi);
}

class Stocks {
    private List<PriceObserver> observers;
    void addObserver(PriceObserver pi) {
        observers.add(pi);
    }
    void notifyObserver(PriceInfo i) {
        for (PriceObserver obs : observers)
            obs.update(i);
    }
...
}
```

Register a callback

Do the callbacks
The observer pattern

- **Stocks** not responsible for viewer creation
- **Main** passes viewers to **Stocks** as **observers**
- **Stocks** keeps list of **PriceObservers**, notifies them of changes

**Issue:** `update` method must pass enough information to (unknown) viewers
A different design: pull versus push

- The Observer pattern implements push functionality
- A pull model: give viewers access to Stocks, let them extract the data they need

“Push” versus “pull” efficiency can depend on frequency of operations (Also possible to use both patterns simultaneously.)
Another example of Observer pattern

// Represents a sign-up sheet of students
public class SignupSheet extends Observable {
    private List<String> students = new ArrayList<String>();
    public void addStudent(String student) {
        students.add(student);
        setChanged();
        notifyObservers();
    }
    public int size() {
        return students.size();
    }
    ...
}

SignupSheet inherits many methods including:
void addObserver(Observer o)
protected void setChanged()
void notifyObservers()
public class SignupObserver implements Observer {
    // called whenever observed object changes
    // and observers are notified
    public void update(Observable o, Object arg) {
        System.out.println("Signup count: "+((SignupSheet)o).size());
    }
}

Part of the JDK
Not relevant to us
cast because Observable is non-generic 😞
Registering an observer

```java
SignupSheet s = new SignupSheet();
s.addStudent("billg");
// nothing visible happens
s.addObserver(new SignupObserver());
s.addStudent("torvalds");
// now text appears: "Signup count: 2"
```

Java's “Listeners” (particularly in GUI classes) are examples of the Observer pattern

(Feel free to use the Java observer classes in your designs – if they are a good fit – but you don’t have to use them)
User interfaces: appearance vs. content

It is easy to tangle up *appearance* and *content*

– Particularly when supporting direct manipulation (e.g., dragging line endpoints in a drawing program)
– Another example: program state stored in widgets in dialog boxes

Neither can be understood easily or changed easily

This destroys modularity and reusability

– Over time, it leads to bizarre hacks and huge complexity
– Code must be discarded

Callbacks, listeners, and other patterns can help