Usability is about creating effective user interfaces. The first slide shows a WYSIWYG GUI — but it still fails — why? The long help message is needed for a simple task because the interface is bizarre! The scrollbar is used to select an award template. Each position on the scrollbar represents a template, and moving the scrollbar back and forth changes the template shown. Cute but bad use of a scrollbar. How many templates? No indication on scrollbar. How are the templates organized? No hint.

- Inconsistent with common usage of scrollbars — usually used for continuous scrolling, not discrete selection.
- How does a frequent user find a template they’ve used before?

User Interfaces Are Hard to Design

- You are not the user.
  - Most software engineering is about communicating with other programmers.
  - UI is about communicating with users.
- The user is always right.
- Consistent problems are the system’s fault.
- …but the user is not always right.
- Users aren't designers.
Iterative Design

- UI development is an iterative process
- Design
- Evaluate
- Implement
- Iterations can be costly — but the benefits can be high
  - If the design turns out to be bad, you may have to throw away most of your code

Spiral Model

- Use throw-away prototypes and cheap evaluation for early iterations
- Design
- Evaluate
- Implement

Usability Defined

- Usability: how well users can use the system
- Dimensions of usability
  - Learnability: is it easy to learn?
  - Efficiency: once learned, is it fast to use?
  - Memorability: is it easy to remember what you learned?
  - Errors: are errors few and recoverable?
  - Satisfaction: is it enjoyable to use?
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Learnability

- Related to “intuitive” and “user-friendly”
- The first example had serious problems with learnability, especially with the scrollbar
  - Unfamiliar usage
  - Inconsistent usage
  - And outright inappropriate usage

Metaphorical Design

- Designers based it on a real-world plastic CD case
- Metaphors are one way to make an interface “intuitive,” since users can make guesses about how it will work
- Dominated by static artwork — clicking it does nothing
- Why? A CD case doesn’t actually play CDs, so the designers had to find a place for the core player controls
- The metaphor is dictating control layout, against all other considerations
- Also disregards consistency with other desktop applications. Close box? Shut it down!
People Don’t Learn Instantly

To design for learnability it helps to know how people actually learn
This example shows overreliance on the user’s memory
It’s a modal dialog box, so the user needs to click OK
But then the instructions vanish from the screen, and the user is left to struggle to remember them
Just because you’ve said it, doesn’t mean they know it

Design Principles for Learnability

Consistency
- Similar things look similar, different things different
- Terminology, location, argument order, ...
- Internal, external, metaphorical
Match the real world
- Common words, not tech jargon
Recognition, not recall
- Labeled buttons are better than command languages
- Combo boxes are better than text boxes

Facts About Memory & Learning

- Working memory
  - Small: 7 ± 2 “chunks”
  - Short-lived: gone in ~10 sec
  - Maintenance rehearsal is required to keep it from decaying (but costs attention)
- Long-term memory
  - Practically infinite in size and duration
  - Elaborative rehearsal transfers chunks to long-term memory

Visibility

- Familiar, easy to use
  - But passes up some tremendous opportunities, including
    - Why only one line of display? Why not a history?
    - Why only one memory slot? Why display “M” instead of the actual number stored in memory?
- Visibility also compromised by invisible modes
  - When entering a number, pressing a digit appends it to the number; but after pressing an operator button, the next digit starts a new number – no visible feedback the low-level mode
  - It also lets you type numbers on the keyboard, but there is no hint about this

Facts About Human Perception

- Perceptual fusion: stimuli < 100ms apart appear fused to our perceptual systems
  - 10 frames/sec is enough to perceive a moving picture
  - Computer response < 100 ms feels instantaneous
- Color blindness: many users (~8%) of distinguish red from green

- Normal vision
- Red-green deficient
Design Principles for Visibility

- Make system state visible: keep the user informed about what's going on
  - Mouse cursor, selection highlight, status bar
- Give prompt feedback – response time rules-of-thumb
  - < 0.1 sec seems instantaneous
  - 0.1-1 sec user notices, but no feedback needed
  - 1-5 sec display busy cursor
  - > 1-5 sec display progress bar

Efficiency

- How quickly can an expert operate the system – input, commands, perceiving and processing output
- About the performance of the I/O channel between the user and the program
- Fewer keystrokes to do a task is usually more efficient, but it's subtle
- The Gimp interface uses only contextual, cascading submenus – studies show it's actually slower to use than a menu bar

Some Facts About Motor Processing

- Open-loop control
  - Motor processor runs by itself
  - Cycle time is ~ 70 ms
- Closed-loop control
  - Muscle movements (or their effect on the world) are perceived and compared with desired result
  - Cycle time is ~ 240 ms

Pointing Tasks: Fitts’s Law

- How long does it take to reach a target?
  - Moving mouse to target on screen
  - Moving finger to key on keyboard
  - Moving hand between keyboard and mouse

Design Principles for Efficiency

- Fitts’s Law and Steering Law (constrained movement)
  - Make important targets big, nearby, or at screen edges
  - Avoid steering tasks
- Provide shortcuts
  - Keyboard accelerators
  - Styles
  - Bookmarks
  - History

Mode Error

- Modes: states in which actions have different meanings
  - VI’s insert mode vs. command mode
  - Drawing palette
- Reducing mode errors
  - Eliminate modes entirely
  - Visibility of mode
  - Disjoint action sets in different modes
Confirmation Dialogs: “Are you sure?”
- They make common operations take two button presses rather than one.
- Frequent confirmations dialogs lead to expert users chunking it as part of the operation.
- Reversibility (i.e. undo) is a far better solution than confirmation—operations that are very hard to reverse may deserve confirmation, however.

Design Principles for Error Handling
- Prevent errors as much as possible
  - Selection is better than typing
  - Reduce mode errors
  - Disable illegal commands
  - Separate risky commands from common ones
- Use confirmation dialogs sparingly
- Support undo
- Good error messages
  - Precise
  - Speak the user’s language
  - Constructive help
  - Polite

Simplicity
- “Less is More”
  - Omit extraneous information, graphics, features
- Good graphic design
  - Few, well-chosen colors and fonts
  - Group with whitespace
- Use concise language
  - Choose labels carefully

Document your system
- Write the user manual
  - Program and UI metaphors
  - Key functionality
  - Not: exhaustive list of all menus
- What is hard to describe?
- Who is your target user?
  - Power users need a manual
  - Casual users might not
  - Piecemeal online help is no substitute

Design Principles for Simplicity
- Good graphic design
  - Few, well-chosen colors and fonts
  - Group with whitespace
- Use concise language
  - Choose labels carefully

Source: Alex Papadimoulis
Source: Interface Hall of Shame
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Low-fidelity Prototypes

- Paper is a very fast and effective prototyping tool
  - Sketch windows, menus, dialogs, widgets
  - Crank out lots of designs and evaluate them
- Hand-sketching is OK — even preferable
  - Focus on behavior & interaction, not fonts & colors
  - Similar to design of your data structures & algorithms
- Paper prototypes can even be executed
  - Use pieces to represent windows, dialogs, menus
  - Simulate the computer’s responses by moving pieces around and writing on them

Paper Prototypes

![Paper Prototypes Image]

User Testing

- Start with a prototype
- Write up a few representative tasks
  - Short, but not trivial
  - e.g.: “add this meeting to calendar”, “type this letter and print it”
- Find a few representative users
  - Three is often enough to find obvious problems
- Watch them do tasks with the prototype
How to Watch Users

- Brief the user first (being a test user is stressful)
  - "I'm testing the system, not testing you"
  - "If you have trouble, it's the system's fault"
  - "Feel free to quit at any time"
- Ethical issues: informed consent
- Ask user to think aloud
- Be quiet!
  - Don't help, don't explain, don't point out mistakes
  - Sit on your hands if it helps
  - Two exceptions: prod user to think aloud ("what are you thinking now?") and move on to next task when stuck
- Take lots of notes

Watch for Critical Incidents

- Critical incidents: events that strongly affect task performance or satisfaction
- Usually negative
  - Errors
  - Repeated attempts
  - Curses
- Can also be positive
  - "Cool!"
  - "Oh, now I see."

Summary

- You are not the user
- Keep human capabilities and design principles in mind
- Iterate over your design
- Write documentation
- Make cheap, throw-away prototypes
- Evaluate them with users

Further Reading

- General books on usability
  - Hix & Hartson, Developing User Interfaces, Wiley 1995.
- Low-fidelity prototyping
- Usability heuristics
  - Nielsen, “Heuristic Evaluation.”
  - Tognazzini, “First Principles.”

Next steps

- Monday: UML; Wednesday: TBA
- A5 and A6