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• Introduction to design patterns
• Creational patterns (constructing objects)
• Structural patterns (controlling heap layout)
• Behavioral patterns (affecting object semantics)
What is a design pattern?

• A standard solution to a common programming problem
  – a design or implementation structure that achieves a particular purpose
  – a high-level programming idiom
• A technique for making code more flexible
  – reduce coupling among program components
• Shorthand for describing program design
  – a description of connections among program components
  – the shape of a heap snapshot or object model
Example 1: Encapsulation (data hiding)

• Problem: Exposed fields can be directly manipulated
  – Violations of the representation invariant
  – Dependences prevent changing the implementation

• Solution: Hide some components
  – Permit only stylized access to the object

• Disadvantages:
  – Interface may not (efficiently) provide all desired operations
  – Indirection may reduce performance
Example 2: Subclassing (inheritance)

• Problem: Repetition in implementations
  – Similar abstractions have similar members (fields, methods)

• Solution: Inherit default members from a superclass
  – Select an implementation via run-time dispatching

• Disadvantages:
  – Code for a class is spread out, and thus less understandable
  – Run-time dispatching introduces overhead
Example 3: Iteration

• Problem: To access all members of a collection, must perform a specialized traversal for each data structure
  – Introduces undesirable dependences
  – Does not generalize to other collections

• Solution:
  – The implementation performs traversals, does bookkeeping
  – Results are communicated to clients via a standard interface

• Disadvantages:
  – Iteration order is fixed by the implementation and not under the control of the client
Example 4: Exceptions

• Problem:
  – Errors in one part of the code should be handled elsewhere.
  – Code should not be cluttered with error-handling code.
  – Return values should not be preempted by error codes.

• Solution: Language structures for throwing and catching exceptions

• Disadvantages:
  – Code may still be cluttered.
  – It may be hard to know where an exception will be handled.
  – Use of exceptions for normal control flow may be confusing and inefficient.
Example 5: Generics

• Problem:
  – Well-designed data structures hold one type of object

• Solution:
  – Programming language checks for errors in contents
    – List<Date> instead of just List

• Disadvantages:
  – Slightly more verbose types
Creating generic classes

- **Introduce a type parameter to a class**
  ```java
  public class Graph<N> implements Iterable<N> {
    private final Map<N, Set<N>> node2neighbors;
    public Graph(Set<N> nodes, Set<Tuple<N,N>> edges) {
      ...
    }
  }
  ```

  ```java
  public interface Path<N, P extends Path<N,P>>
    extends Iterable<N>, Comparable<Path<?, ?>> {
    public Iterator<N> iterator();
  }
  ```

- **Code can perform any operation permitted by the bound**
Tips for designing generic classes

• First, write and test a concrete version
  – Consider creating a second concrete version
• Then, generalize it by adding type parameters
  – The compiler will help you to find errors
A puzzle about generics

- Integer is a subtype of Number

- List<Integer> is not a subtype of List<Number>
  Compare specs: add(Integer) is not stronger than add(Number)
  What goes wrong if List<Integer> is a subtype of List<Number>?
  List<Integer> li = new List<Integer>();
  // legal if List<Integer> is subtype of List<Number>
  List<Number> ln = li;
  ln.add(new Float());
  ln.add(new Float());
  li.get(0); // we got a Float out of a List<Integer>!

- Integer[] is a subtype of Number[]
  – Can we use similar code to break the Java type system?
When (not) to use design patterns

• Rule 1: delay
  – Get something basic working first
  – Improve it once you understand it

• Design patterns can increase or decrease understandability
  – Add indirection, increase code size
  – Improve modularity, separate concerns, ease description

• If your design or implementation has a problem, consider design patterns that address that problem

• Canonical reference: the "Gang of Four" book
  – Design Patterns: Elements of Reusable Object-Oriented Software, by Erich Gamma, Richard Helm, Ralph Johnson, and John Vlissides, Addison-Wesley, 1995.

• Another good reference for Java
Why should you care?

• You could come up with these solutions on your own
• You shouldn't have to!
• A design pattern is a known solution to a known problem
Creational patterns

• Constructors in Java are inflexible
  1. Can't return a subtype of the class they belong to
  2. Always return a fresh new object, never re-use one

• Factories
  – Factory method
  – Factory object
  – Prototype

• Sharing
  – Singleton
  – Interning
  – Flyweight
Factories

• Problem: client desires control over object creation
• Factory method
  – Hides decisions about object creation
  – Implementation: put code in methods in client
• Factory object
  – Bundles factory methods for a family of types
  – Implementation: put code in a separate object
• Prototype
  – Every object is a factory, can create more objects like itself
  – Implementation: put code in clone methods
Motivation for factories: Changing implementations

• Supertypes support multiple implementations
  
  interface Matrix { ... }
  
class SparseMatrix implements Matrix { ... }
  
class DenseMatrix implements Matrix { ... }

• Clients use the supertype (Matrix)
  
  – Still need to use a SparseMatrix or DenseMatrix constructor
  
  – Switching implementations requires code changes
Use of factories

• Factory
  
  ```java
  class MatrixFactory {
    public static Matrix createMatrix() {
      return new SparseMatrix();
    }
  }
  ```

• Clients call `createMatrix`, not a particular constructor

• Advantages
  - To switch the implementation, only change one place
  - Can decide what type of matrix to create
Example: bicycle race

class Race {

    // factory method
    Race createRace() {

        Bicycle bike1 = new Bicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = new Bicycle();

        ...
    }

}
Example: **Tour de France**

class TourDeFrance extends Race {

    // factory method
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = new RoadBicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = new RoadBicycle();
        ...
    }

}
Example: **Cyclocross**

class Cyclocross extends Race {

    // factory method
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = new MountainBicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = new MountainBicycle();
        ...
    }
}

Factory method for Bicycle

class Race {
    Bicycle createBicycle() { ... }
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = createBicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = createBicycle();
        ...
    }
}
Code using factory methods

class Race {
    Bicycle createBicycle() { ... }
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = createBicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = createBicycle();
        ...
    }
}

class TourDeFrance extends Race {
    Bicycle createBicycle() {
        return new RoadBicycle();
    }
}

class Cyclocross extends Race {
    Bicycle createBicycle(Frame) {
        return new MountainBicycle();
    }
}
Factory objects/classes encapsulate factory methods

class BicycleFactory {
    Bicycle createBicycle() { ... }
    Frame createFrame() { ... }
    Wheel createWheel() { ... }
    ...
}

class RoadBicycleFactory extends BicycleFactory {
    Bicycle createBicycle() {
        return new RoadBicycle();
    }
}

class MountainBicycleFactory extends BicycleFactory {
    Bicycle createBicycle() {
        return new MountainBicycle();
    }
}
Using a factory object

class Race {
    BicycleFactory bfactory;
    // constructor
    Race() { bfactory = new BicycleFactory(); }
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = bfactory.createBicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = bfactory.createBicycle();
        ...
    }
}

class TourDeFrance extends Race {
    // constructor
    TourDeFrance() { bfactory = new RoadBicycleFactory(); }
}

class Cyclocross extends Race {
    // constructor
    Cyclocross() { bfactory = new MountainBicycleFactory(); }
}
Separate control over bicycles and races

class Race {
    BicycleFactory bfactory;
    // constructor
    Race(BicycleFactory bfactory) { this.bfactory = bfactory; }
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = bfactory.completeBicycle();
        Bicycle bike2 = bfactory.completeBicycle();
        ...
    }
}
// No special constructor for TourDeFrance or for Cyclocross

Now we can specify the race and the bicycle separately:

    new TourDeFrance(new TricycleFactory())
DateFormat factory methods

DateFormat class encapsulates knowledge about how to format dates and times as text

- Options: just date? just time? date+time? where in the world?
- Instead of passing all options to constructor, use factories.
- The subtype created doesn't need to be specified.

```java
DateFormat df1 = DateFormat.getDateInstance();
DateFormat df2 = DateFormat.getTimeInstance();
DateFormat df3 = DateFormat.getDateInstance(DateFormat.FULL, Locale.FRANCE);
Date today = new Date();
System.out.println(df1.format(today)); // “Jul 4, 1776"
System.out.println(df2.format(today)); // "10:15:00 AM"
System.out.println(df3.format(today)); // “juedi 4 juillet 1776”
```
Prototype pattern

- Every object is itself a factory
- Each class contains a `clone` method that creates a copy of the receiver object

```java
class Bicycle {
    Bicycle clone() { ... }
}
```

- You will often see `Object` as the return type of `clone`
  - This is due to a design flaw in Java 1.4 and earlier
  - `clone` is declared in `Object`
  - Java 1.4 did not permit the return type to change in an overridden method
Using prototypes

class Race {
    Bicycle bproto;
    // constructor
    Race(Bicycle bproto) { this.bproto = bproto; }
    Race createRace() {
        Bicycle bike1 = (Bicycle) bproto.clone();
        Bicycle bike2 = (Bicycle) bproto.clone();
        ...
    }
}

Again, we can specify the race and the bicycle separately:

    new TourDeFrance(new Tricycle())
Sharing

Recall the second weakness of Java constructors

Java constructors always return a new object, never a pre-existing object

- **Singleton**: only one object exists at runtime
  - Factory method returns the same object every time
- **Interning**: only one object with a particular (abstract) value exists at runtime
  - Factory method returns an existing object, not a new one
- **Flyweight**: separate intrinsic and extrinsic state, represent them separately, and intern the intrinsic state
  - Implicit representation uses no space
Singleton

Only one object of the given type exists

class Bank {
    private static bank theBank;

    // private constructor
    private Bank() { ... }

    // factory method
    public static getBank() {
        if (theBank == null) {
            theBank = new Bank();
        }
        return theBank;
    }
    ...
Interning pattern

- Reuse existing objects instead of creating new ones
  - Less space
  - May compare with `==` instead of `equals()`
- Permitted only for immutable objects
Interning mechanism

• Maintain a collection of all objects
• If an object already appears, return that instead

    HashMap<String, String> segnames; // why not Set<String>?
    String canonicalName(String n) {
        if (segnames.containsKey(n)) {
            return segnames.get(n);
        } else {
            segnames.put(n, n);
            return n;
        }
    }

• Java builds this in for strings: `String.intern()`
• Two approaches:
  – create the object, but perhaps discard it and return another
  – check against the arguments before creating the new object
java.lang.Boolean does not use the Interning pattern

```java
public class Boolean {
    private final boolean value;
    // construct a new Boolean value
    public Boolean(boolean value) {
        this.value = value;
    }

    public static Boolean FALSE = new Boolean(false);
    public static Boolean TRUE = new Boolean(true);
    // factory method that uses interning
    public static valueOf(boolean value) {
        if (value) {
            return TRUE;
        } else {
            return FALSE;
        }
    }
}
```
Recognition of the problem

Javadoc for Boolean constructor:

Allocates a Boolean object representing the value argument.

Note: It is rarely appropriate to use this constructor. Unless a new instance is required, the static factory valueOf(boolean) is generally a better choice. It is likely to yield significantly better space and time performance.

Josh Bloch (JavaWorld, January 4, 2004):

The Boolean type should not have had public constructors. There's really no great advantage to allow multiple trues or multiple falses, and I've seen programs that produce millions of trues and millions of falses, creating needless work for the garbage collector.

So, in the case of immutables, I think factory methods are great.
Flyweight pattern

• Good when many objects are mostly the same
  – Interning works only if objects are entirely the same (and immutable!)
• **Intrinsic state**: same across all objects
  – Technique: intern it (interning requires immutability)
• **Extrinsic state**: different for different objects
  – Represent it explicitly
  – Advanced technique: make it implicit (don’t even represent it!)
    • Making it implicit requires immutability (or other properties)
Example without flyweight: bicycle spoke

class Wheel {
    FullSpoke[] spokes;
    ...
}
class FullSpoke {
    int length;
    int diameter;
    bool tapered;
    Metal material;
    float weight;
    float threading;
    bool crimped;
    int location;  // rim and hub holes this is installed in
}

Typically 32 or 36 spokes per wheel
    but only 3 varieties per bicycle.
In a bike race, hundreds of spoke varieties, millions of instances
Alternatives to FullSpoke

class IntrinsicSpoke {
    int length;
    int diameter;
    boolean tapered;
    Metal material;
    float weight;
    float threading;
    boolean crimped;
}

This doesn't save space: it's the same as FullSpoke

class InstalledSpokeFull extends IntrinsicSpoke {
    int location;
}

This saves space

class InstalledSpokeWrapper {
    IntrinsicSpoke s;       // refer to interned object
    int location;
},

... but flyweight version uses even less space
class FullSpoke {
    // Tension the spoke by turning the nipple the
    // specified number of turns.
    void tighten(int turns) {
        ... location ...   // location is a field
    }
}

class Wheel {
    FullSpoke[] spokes;
    void align() {
        while (wheel is misaligned) {
            // tension the i\textsuperscript{th} spoke
            ... spokes[i].tension(numturns) ...
        }
    }
}

What is the value of the \texttt{location} field in \texttt{spokes[i]}?
class IntrinsicSpoke {
    void tighten(int turns, int location) {
        ... location ...    // location is a parameter
    }
}

class Wheel {
    IntrinsicSpoke[] spokes;
    void align() {
        while (wheel is misaligned) {
            // tension the i\textsuperscript{th} spoke
            ... spokes[i].tighten(numturns, i) ...
        }
    }
}
Flyweight discussion

• What if **FullSpoke** contains a **wheel** field pointing at the **Wheel** containing it?

• What if **FullSpoke** contains a **boolean** broken field?

  Wheel methods pass this to the methods that use the **wheel** field.

  Add an array of **booleans** in **Wheel**, parallel to the array of **Spokes**.

• Flyweight is manageable only if there are very few mutable (extrinsic) fields.

• Flyweight complicates the code.

• Use flyweight only when profiling has determined that space is a **serious** problem.