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Type-Safe Containers

• Idea – a class or interface can have a type parameter:
  ```java
class Bag<E> {
    private E item;
    public void setItem(E x) { item = x; }
    public E getItem() { return item; }
}
```

• Given such a type, we can create & use instances:
  ```java
  Bag<String> b = new Bag<String>();
  b.setItem(“How about that?”);
  String contents = b.getItem();
  ```
Why?

- Main advantage is compile-time type checking:
  - Ensure at compile time that items put in a generic container have the right type
  - No need for a cast to check the types of items returned; guaranteed by type system
  - Underneath, everything is a raw object, but we don’t have to write the casts explicitly or worry about type failures
Type Erasure

• Type parameters are a compile-time-only artifact. At runtime, only the raw types are present

• So, at runtime, the compile-time class Bag<E> is just a Bag (only one instance of class Bag), and everything added or removed is just an Object, not a particular E

  • Casts, etc. are inserted by compiler as needed, but guaranteed to succeed if generics rules are obeyed

  • Underlying code and JVM is pre-generics Java

• Ugly, but necessary design decision

  • Makes it possible for new code that uses generics to interoperate with old code that doesn’t

  • Not how you would do it if you could start over
Type Erasure Consequences

• Code in a class cannot depend on the actual value of a type parameter at runtime. Examples of problems:

```java
public class Bag<E> {

    private E item;     // OK
    private E[] array;  // also OK

    public Bag() {
        item = new E();     // error - new E() not allowed
        array = new E[10];  // error - new E[] also not allowed
    }
}
```
But I Need to Make an E[ ]!!!!

- Various solutions. For simple case, we can use an unchecked cast of an Object array (which is what it really is underneath anyway)
  
  ```java
  E[] stuff = (E[])new Object[size];
  ```

- All the other code that uses stuff[] and its elements will work and typecheck just fine

- Be sure you understand the cause of all unchecked cast warnings & limit to “safe” situations like this

- More complex solutions if you want more type safety or have more general requirements – see references for detailed discussions
Example with “Generic” Array

public class Bag<E> {
    // instance variable
    E[ ] items;

    // constructor
    public Bag() { items = (E[ ]) new Object[10]; }

    // methods
    public void store(E item) { items[0] = item; }
    public E get() { return items[0]; }
}

References

- Textbook (Weiss), sec. 1.5.3
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  java.sun.com/docs/books/tutorial/extra/generics/index.html
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  *Java Generics and Collections,*
  Maurice Naftalin & Philip Wadler, O’Reilly, 2006
  *The Java Programming Language,* 4th ed.,
- And for the Language Lawyers in the crowd:
  Gosling, Joy, Steele & Bracha, A-W, 2005
Testing & Debugging

• Testing Goals
  • Verify that software behaves as expected
  • Be able to recheck this as the software evolves

• Debugging
  • A controlled experiment to discover what is wrong
  • Strategies and questions:
    • What’s wrong?
    • What do we know is working? How far do we get before something isn’t right?
    • What changed?

(Even if the changed code didn’t produce the bug, it’s fairly likely that some interaction between the changed code and other code did.)
Unit Tests

• Idea: create *small tests that verify individual* properties or operations of objects
  • Do constructors and methods do what they are supposed to?
  • Do variables and value-returning methods have the expected values?
  • Is the right output produced?
• Lots of small unit tests, each of which test something specific; not big, complicated tests
  • *If something breaks, the broken test should be a great clue about where the problem is*
JUnit 4

- Test framework for Java Unit tests
- Idea: implement classes that have JUnit tests
- Each test in the class has the `@Test` annotation
- Each test performs some computation and then checks the result
- Optional: method with `@Before` tag to initialize instance variables or otherwise prepare for each test
- Optional: method with `@After` to clean up after each test
  - Less commonly used than `@Before`
Example

```java
import static org.junit.Assert.assertEquals;
import org.junit.Test;
public class CalculatorTest {

    @Test
    public void testAddition() {
        Calculator calc = new Calculator();
        int expected = 7;
        int actual = calc.add(3, 4);
        assertEquals("adding 3 and 4", expected, actual);
    }
    ...
}
```
Exceptions

```java
@Test
public void testDivisionByZero() {
    Calculator calc = new Calculator();
    try { // verify exception thrown
        calc.divide(2, 0);
        calc.divide(2, 0);
        fail("should have thrown an exception");
    } catch (ArithmeticException e) {
        // do nothing – this is what we expect
    }
}
```
What Kinds of Checks are Available

• Need to include import static org.junit.Assert.*;

• Look in junit.framework.Assert (JavaDocs on www.junit.org)

    assertEquals(expected, actual);
    // works on any type except double; uses .equals() for objects

    assertEquals(message, expected, actual);
    // all have variations with messages

    assertEquals(expected, actual, delta);
    // for doubles to test “close enough”

    assertFalse(condition);
    assertTrue(condition);

    assertNotNull(object);
    assertNull(object);

    fail();
If the tests require some common initial setup, we can write this once and it is automatically executed before each test (i.e., each test starts with a fresh setUp)

```java
import org.junit.Before;

public class CalculatorTest {
    private Calculator calc; // calculator object for tests
    /** initialize: repeated before each test */
    @Before
    public void setUp() {
        calc = new Calculator();
    }
    // tests as before, but no local declaration of calc
```