Lecture 19: Swinging from Up-Trees to Graphs

✦ **Today’s Agenda:**
✧ Smart Union and Find
  ✦ Union-by-size/height and Path Compression
✧ Run Time Analysis – as tough as it gets!
✧ Introduction to Graphs

✦ Covered in Chapters 8 and 9 in the textbook

Recall: Disjoint Set ADT

✧ **Disjoint set ADT:** Used to represent a collection of sets containing objects that are related to each other
  ✧ Relations defined through Union operation
  ✧ Union merges two sets – their objects become related.
  ✧ Find returns the “name” of the set an object belongs to

Example:
Initial Classes = {1,4,8}, {2,3}, {6}, {7}, {5,9,10}
Name of equiv. class underlined

\[ \begin{align*}
\text{find(4)} & \rightarrow \{1,4,8\} \\
\text{union(2,6)} & \rightarrow \{2,3,6\} \\
\end{align*} \]
Recall: Up-Tree Data Structure

✦ Each equivalence class (or discrete set) is an up-tree with its root as its representative member

✦ All members of a given set are nodes in that set’s up-tree

Example of Find

Find: Just follow parent pointers to the root!

find(f) = c
find(e) = a

Runtime depends on tree depth

Array up:

| -4 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 1 | 2 | -1 | -2 | 7 |
Example of Union

Union: Just hang one root from the other!

union(c,a)

Runtime = O(1)

Array up:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0 (a)</th>
<th>1 (b)</th>
<th>2 (c)</th>
<th>3 (d)</th>
<th>4 (e)</th>
<th>5 (f)</th>
<th>6 (g)</th>
<th>7 (h)</th>
<th>8 (i)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>-1</td>
<td>-2</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Change a (from -4) to c (= 2), update size

Smart Union?

✦ For M Finds and N-1 Unions, worst case time is O(MN+N)

حركات Can we speed things up by being clever about growing our up-trees?
Smart Union/Find: **Union-by-Size**

- **Idea:** In Union, always make root of larger tree the new root
- **Why?** Minimizes height of the new up-tree

![Diagram showing Union and Union-by-Size](image)

Union-by-Size: **Run-Time Analysis**

- Finds are $O(\text{max up-tree height})$ for a forest of up-trees containing $N$ nodes
- Number of nodes in an up-tree of height $h$ using union-by-size is $\geq 2^h$ (prove by induction)
  - Pick up-tree with max height
  - Then, $N \geq 2^{\text{max height}} \rightarrow \text{max height} \leq \log N$
- Find takes $O(\log N)$ when Union-by-Size is used
  - Same result with Union-by-Height (see text)
Smart Find?

Find(e) = a

Runtime depends on tree depth

If we do M Finds on the same element \( \rightarrow O(M \log N) \) time

Can we make Find have side-effects so that next Find will be faster?

Introducing…Path Compression

✦ Path Compression: Point everything along path of a Find to root
✦ Reduces height of entire access path to 1: Finds get faster!
   ➤ Déjà vu?
   ➤ Idea similar to the one behind your old buddy – the splay tree…

Path compression
A P.C. example with more meat…

\[
\text{Find}(e)
\]

How to P.C. – Path Compression Code

```java
public int Find(int X)
{
    // Assumes X = Hash(X_Element)
    // X_Element could be str/char etc.

    if (up[X] < 0) // Root
        return X; //Return root = set name
    else
        //Find parent and update pointer to root
        return up[X] = Find(up[X]);
}
```

- Trivial modification of original recursive Find
- New running time = ?

Make all nodes along access path point to root
public int Find(int X) {
    // Assumes X = Hash(X_Element)
    // X_Element could be str/char etc.

    if (up[X] < 0) // Root
        return X; // Return root = set name
    else
        // Find parent and update pointer to root
        return up[X] = Find(up[X]);
}

• Find still takes $O(\text{max up-tree height})$ worst case
• But what happens to the tree heights over time?
• What is the amortized run time of Find if we do $M$ Finds?

How to P.C. – Path Compression Code

What is the amortized run time per operation if we do a sequence of $M$ Unions or Finds using Union-by-Size & P.C.?

10-second break to solve this problem…
What is the *amortized* run time per operation if we do a sequence of M Unions or Finds using Union-by-Size & P.C.?

If you succeeded in solving this...you shouldn't be in this class!

One of the toughest run-time analysis problems ever!

(no, a similar problem won't be in the final)

---

**Analysis of P.C. with Union-by-Size**

- R. E. Tarjan (of the up-trees fame) showed that:
  - When both P.C. and Union-by-Size are used, the worst case run time for a sequence of M operations (Unions or Finds) is $\Theta(M \alpha(M,N))$

- What is $\alpha(M,N)$?
  - $\alpha(M,N)$ is the inverse of Ackermann’s function

- What is Ackermann’s function?
Digression: Them slow-growing functions…

✦ **How fast does log N grow?** \( \log N = 4 \) for \( N = 16 = 2^2 \)

⇒ Grows quite slowly

✦ Let \( \log^{(k)} N = \log (\log (\log \ldots (\log N))) \) \( (k \text{ logs}) \)

✦ Let \( \log^* N = \text{minimum } k \text{ such that } \log^{(k)} N \leq 1 \)

✦ **How fast does \( \log^* N \) grow?** \( \log^* N = 4 \) for \( N = 65536 = 2^{2^2} \)

⇒ Grows very slowly

---

Acknowledgment and his function

✦ Ackermann created a really explosive function

\( A(i, j) \) whose inverse \( \alpha(M, N) \) grows very, very slowly \( (\text{slower than } \log^* N) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
A(1, j) &= 2^j \text{ for } j \geq 1 \\
A(i, 1) &= A(i - 1, 2) \text{ for } i \geq 2 \\
A(i, j) &= A(i - 1, A(i, j - 1)) \text{ for } i, j \geq 2
\end{align*}
\]

\( \alpha(M, N) = \min \{ i \geq 1 | A(i, \lfloor M / N \rfloor) > \log N \} \)
How slowly does $\alpha(M, N)$ grow?

$\alpha(M, N) \leq 4$ for all practical choices of $M$ and $N$

($\alpha(M, N) = 4$ for $M$ far larger than the number of atoms in the universe ($2^{300}$)!! (assuming $M \geq N$))

Mighty profound…but what in my dog Skip’s name does all this have to do with Unions and Finds?

---

Back to Smart Unions/Finds

✦ R. E. Tarjan showed that:
  ⇒ When both P.C. and Union-by-Size are used, the worst case total run time for any sequence of $M$ Unions and Finds is $\Theta(M \cdot \alpha(M,N))$

✦ Textbook proves weaker result of $O(M \log^* N)$ time
  ⇒ Requires 6 pages and 8 Lemmas! (Check it out!)

✦ Amortized run time per operation
  = total time/ no. of operations = $\Theta(M \cdot \alpha(M,N))/M$
  = $\Theta(\alpha(M,N))$
  ≈ $\Theta(1)$ for all practical purposes ($\alpha(M, N) \leq 4$ for all practical $M, N$)
  ≈ constant time!
Summary of Disjoint Set and Union/Find

✦ The Disjoint Set ADT allows us to represent objects that fall into different equivalence classes or sets
✦ Two main operations: Union of two classes and Find class name for a given element
✦ Up-Tree data structure allows efficient array implementation
  ➤ Unions take O(1) worst case time, Finds can take O(N)
  ➤ Union-by-Size (or by-Height) reduces worst case time for Find to O(log N)
  ➤ If we use both Union-by-Size/Height & Path Compression:
    ♦ Any sequence of M Union/Find operations results in O(1) amortized time per operation (for all practical purposes)

Applications of Disjoint Sets

✦ Disjoint sets can be used to represent:
  ➤ Cities on a map (disjoint sets of connected cities)
  ➤ Electrical components on chip
  ➤ Computers connected in a network
  ➤ Groups of people related to each other by blood
  ➤ Textbook example: Maze generation using Unions/Finds:
    ♦ Start with walls everywhere and each cell in a set by itself
    ♦ Knock down walls randomly and Union cells that become connected
    ♦ Use Find to find out if two cells are already connected
    ♦ Terminate when starting and ending cell are in same set i.e. connected (or when all cells are in same set)
We are now ready to tackle
the grandmama of all data structures…

The crème de la crème…
The most general, the all-encompassing…
Graphs and their algorithms!

What are graphs? (Take 1)

✦ Yes, this is a graph.…

✦ But we are interested in a different kind of “graph”
Motivation for Graphs

✦ Consider the data structures we have looked at so far…
✦ **Linked list**: nodes with 1 incoming edge + 1 outgoing edge
✦ **Binary trees/heaps**: nodes with 1 incoming edge + 2 outgoing edges
✦ **Binomial trees/B-trees**: nodes with 1 incoming edge + multiple outgoing edges
✦ **Up-trees**: nodes with multiple incoming edges + 1 outgoing edge

What is common among these data structures?
How can you generalize them?
Consider data structures for representing the following problems…
Course Prerequisites for CSE at UW

Nodes = courses
Directed edge = prerequisite

Representing a Maze or Floor Plan of a House

Nodes = rooms
Edge = door or passage
Representing Electrical Circuits

Nodes = battery, switch, resistor, etc.
Edges = connections

Representing Expressions in Compilers

Nodes = symbols/operators
Edges = relationships

Naive:
y*z calculated twice

common subexpression eliminated:
Information Transmission in a Computer Network

Nodes = computers
Edges = transmission rates

Traffic Flow on Highways

Nodes = cities
Edges = # vehicles on connecting highway
Six Degrees of Separation from Kevin Bacon

Apollo 13
- Tom Hanks
- Forest Gump
  - Robin Wright
  - The Princess Bride
  - The Princess Bride
  - Cary Elwes
  - Wallace Shawn
  - Rosanna Arquette
  - After Hours
  - Desperately Seeking Susan
  - Cheech Marin

Soap Opera Relationships

- Victor
- Ashley
- Wayne
- Brad
- Trisha
- Peter
Graphs: Definition

✦ A graph is simply a collection of nodes plus edges
  ➢ Linked lists, trees, and heaps are all special cases of graphs
✦ The nodes are known as vertices (node = “vertex”)
✦ Formal Definition: A graph $G$ is a pair $(V, E)$ where
  ➢ $V$ is a set of vertices or nodes
  ➢ $E$ is a set of edges that connect vertices

Graphs: An Example

✦ Here is a graph $G = (V, E)$
  ➢ Each edge is a pair $(v_1, v_2)$, where $v_1, v_2$ are vertices in $V$
  $V = \{A, B, C, D, E, F\}$
  $E = \{(A,B), (A,D), (B,C), (C,D), (C,E), (D,E)\}$
Directed versus Undirected Graphs

✦ If the order of edge pairs \((v_1, v_2)\) matters, the graph is directed (also called a digraph): \((v_1, v_2) \neq (v_2, v_1)\)

✦ If the order of edge pairs \((v_1, v_2)\) does not matter, the graph is called an undirected graph: in this case, \((v_1, v_2) = (v_2, v_1)\)

Graph Representations

• Space and time are measured in terms of both:
  • Number of vertices = \(|V|\) and
  • Number of edges = \(|E|\)

• There are two ways of representing graphs:
  • The *adjacency matrix* representation
  • The *adjacency list* representation
Graph Representation: Adjacency Matrix

The adjacency matrix representation:

\[ M(v, w) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (v, w) \text{ is in } E \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B & C & D & E & F \\
A & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
B & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
C & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
D & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
E & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Adjacency Matrix for a Digraph

\[ M(v, w) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } (v, w) \text{ is in } E \\
0 & \text{otherwise} 
\end{cases} \]

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
A & B & C & D & E & F \\
A & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
B & 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
C & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
D & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
E & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
F & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Space = ?
Graph Representation: Adjacency List

The *adjacency list* representation: For each $v$ in $V$, $L(v) =$ list of $w$ such that $(v, w)$ is in $E$

Space = $a |V| + 2b |E|$
Adjacency List for a Digraph

Space = ?

Adjacency List for a Digraph

Space = |V| + b |E|
Problem: Find an order in which all these courses can be taken. Example: 142, 143, 378, 370, 321, 341, 322, 326, 421, 401

To take a course, all its prerequisites must be taken first

Topological Sort Definition

Topological sorting problem: given digraph $G = (V, E)$, find a linear ordering of its vertices such that: for any edge $(v, w)$ in $E$, $v$ precedes $w$ in the ordering

How would you Topo-Sort this digraph given an adjacency list representation of $G = (V, E)$?
Next Class:
Getting intimate with Topo sorts
Finding shortest ways to get to your classrooms

To Do:
Homework #4
(to be posted on class web Monday 2/24)

Read and enjoy chapter 9