

## Undecidable Languages

- ◆ The Question: Are there languages that are not decidable by any Turing machine (TM)?
  - ⇒ i.e. Are there problems that cannot be solved by any algorithm?
- ◆ Consider the language:  
 $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$ 
  - ⇒ NOTE:  $\langle A, B, \dots \rangle$  is just a string encoding the objects A, B, ...
  - ⇒ In particular,  $\langle M, w \rangle$  is a string listing all the components of TM M (separated by #, for example) followed by the string w
  - ⇒ Given input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , it should be easy to extract the info about M and to simulate M on w (try writing a TM to do this!)
- ◆ What can we say about  $A_{TM}$ ?

## $A_{TM}$ is Turing-recognizable

- ◆  $A_{TM}$  is Turing-recognizable: Recognizer TM U for  $A_{TM}$ :
  - On input string  $\langle M, w \rangle$ :
    - Simulate M on w.
    - ACCEPT  $\langle M, w \rangle$  if M halts & accepts w;
    - REJECT  $\langle M, w \rangle$  if M halts & rejects
    - (Loop (& thus reject  $\langle M, w \rangle$ ) if M ends up looping).
  - U accepts  $\langle M, w \rangle$  iff M accepts w, i.e.  $L(U) = A_{TM}$

“Universal” TM  
(can simulate any TM)



Yeah, but is it decidable?!!

## Is $A_{TM}$ decidable?

- ◆ No!  $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } M \text{ accepts } w \}$  is undecidable! 1-slide Proof (by Contradiction):
  1. Assume  $A_{TM}$  is decidable  $\Rightarrow$  there's a decider  $H$ ,  $L(H) = A_{TM}$
  2.  $H$  on  $\langle M, w \rangle = \text{ACC}$  if  $M$  accepts  $w$   
      $\text{REJ}$  if  $M$  rejects  $w$  (halts in  $q_{\text{REJ}}$  or loops on  $w$ )
  3. Construct new TM  $D$ : On input  $\langle M \rangle$ :  
     Simulate  $H$  on  $\langle M, \langle M \rangle \rangle$  (here,  $w = \langle M \rangle$ )  
     If  $H$  accepts, then  $\text{REJ}$  input  $\langle M \rangle$   
     If  $H$  rejects, then  $\text{ACC}$  input  $\langle M \rangle$
  4. What happens when  $D$  gets  $\langle D \rangle$  as input?  
      $D$  rejects  $\langle D \rangle$  if  $H$  accepts  $\langle D, \langle D \rangle \rangle$  if  $D$  accepts  $\langle D \rangle$   
      $D$  accepts  $\langle D \rangle$  if  $H$  rejects  $\langle D, \langle D \rangle \rangle$  if  $D$  rejects  $\langle D \rangle$   
     Either way: Contradiction!  $D$  cannot exist  $\Rightarrow H$  cannot exist  
     Therefore,  $A_{TM}$  is not a decidable language.

## Undecidability Proof uses Diagonalization

		Input strings									
		$\langle M_1 \rangle$	$\langle M_2 \rangle$	$\langle M_3 \rangle$	...	$\langle M_1 \rangle$	$\langle M_2 \rangle$	$\langle M_3 \rangle$	...	$\langle D \rangle$	
List of TMs	$M_1$	ACC	REJ	<i>loop</i>	...	$M_1$	ACC	REJ	REJ	...	ACC
	$M_2$	REJ	<i>loop</i>	ACC	...	$M_2$	REJ	REJ	ACC	...	ACC
	$M_3$	ACC	ACC	REJ	...	$M_3$	ACC	ACC	REJ	...	REJ
	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:
						D outputs	REJ	ACC	ACC	...	??

If  $H$   
exists  $\rightarrow$

D outputs  
opposite D  
of diagonal

$D$  on  $\langle M_i \rangle$  accepts if and only if  $M_i$  on  $\langle M_i \rangle$  rejects.  
 So,  $D$  on  $\langle D \rangle$  will accept if and only if  $D$  on  $\langle D \rangle$  rejects!  
 A contradiction  $\Rightarrow H$  cannot exist!  
 Therefore,  $A_{TM}$  is not a decidable language.

## One Last Concept: Reducibility

---

- ◆ How do we show a new problem B is undecidable?
- ◆ Idea: Show that  $A_{TM}$  is reducible to the new problem B
  - ⇒ What does this mean and how do we show this?
- ◆ Show that if B was decidable, then you can use the decider for B as a *subroutine* to decide  $A_{TM}$ 
  - ⇒ Contradiction, therefore B must also be undecidable

## The Halting Problem is Undecidable (Turing, 1936)

---

- ◆ Example: Halting Problem: Does TM M halt on input w?
  - ⇒ Equivalent language:  $A_H = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ halts on input } w \}$
  - ⇒ Need to show  $A_H$  is undecidable
  - ⇒ We know  $A_{TM} = \{ \langle M, w \rangle \mid \text{TM } M \text{ accepts } w \}$  is undecidable
- ◆ Show  $A_{TM}$  is reducible to  $A_H$  (Theorem 5.1 in text)
  - ⇒ Suppose  $A_H$  is decidable  $\Rightarrow$  there's a decider  $M_H$  for  $A_H$
  - ⇒ Then, we can construct a decider  $D_{TM}$  for  $A_{TM}$ :
    - On input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ , run  $M_H$  on  $\langle M, w \rangle$ .
      - If  $M_H$  rejects, then REJ (this takes care of M looping on w)
      - If  $M_H$  accepts, then simulate M on w until M halts
      - If M accepts, then ACC input  $\langle M, w \rangle$ ; else REJ
  - $L(D_{TM}) = A_{TM} \Rightarrow A_{TM}$  is decidable! Contradiction  $\Rightarrow A_H$  is undecidable
- ◆ E.g. 2: Show  $E_{TM} = \{ \langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \emptyset \}$  is undecidable (see Theorem 5.2 in the text)