

PROBLEM SET 8  
Due Friday, June 6, 2003, in class

---

1. Lewis and Papadimitriou, Problem 3.6.2.
2. Consider Lemma 3.7.1 on page 170 of Lewis and Papadimitriou which gives a bottom-up way of converting grammars into PDAs. Fill in the details of the formal inductive proof of the Claim in that Lemma, namely the statement: “For any  $x \in \Sigma^*$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma^*$ ,  $(p, x, \gamma) \vdash_M^* (p, \epsilon, S)$  if and only if  $S \xrightarrow{R}_G \gamma^R x$ .”
3. Show that recursively enumerable (i.e. Turing-recognizable) languages are closed under union, intersection, and concatenation.
4. Give an informal English description of a Turing machine that can *decide* membership in the language  $MUL = \{a^m b^n c^{mn} \mid m, n \geq 1\}$ . (Note that your Turing machine should be a decider, i.e., halt on all inputs.)
5. Let a  $k$ -PDA be a pushdown automaton that has  $k$  stacks. Thus a 0-PDA is an NFA and a 1-PDA is a conventional PDA. Since there are CFLs that are not regular, you already know that 1-PDAs are more powerful than 0-PDAs. Show that 2-PDAs are more powerful than 1-PDAs. (Hint: Argue how a 2-PDA can simulate a Turing machine.)
6. \* (Extra credit) Assume that the language  $A_{TM} = \{\langle M, w \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM that accepts } w\}$  is undecidable. Then, show that  $ALL_{TM} = \{\langle M \rangle \mid M \text{ is a TM and } L(M) = \Sigma^*\}$  is undecidable.