## CSE 311: Foundations of Computing

Lecture 26: Cardinality, Uncomputability


## Last class: Showing that a Language L is not regular

1. "Suppose for contradiction that some DFA M recognizes L."
2. Define an INFINITE set S of "partial strings" (which we intend to complete later). It is imperative that for every pair of strings in our set there is an "accept" completion that the two strings DO NOT SHARE.
3. "Since $S$ is infinite and $M$ has finitely many states, there must be two strings $s_{a}$ and $s_{b}$ in $S$ for $s_{a} \neq s_{b}$ that end up at the same state of M."
4. Define the "accept" completion $t$ (depending on $s_{a}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}}$ ) that the two strings do not share.
5. "Since $s_{a}$ and $s_{b}$ both end up at the same state of $M$, and we appended the same string $t$, both $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{t}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{t}$ end at the same state $q$ of $M$. Since $s_{a} t \in L$ and $s_{b} t \notin L$, $M$ does not recognize L."
6. "Since M was arbitrary, no DFA recognizes L."

## Last class: $\mathbf{P}=\{$ balanced parentheses $\}$ is not regular

Suppose for contradiction that some DFA, M, recognizes P.

Let $S=\{(n: n \geq 0\}$. Since $S$ is infinite and $M$ has finitely many states, there must be two strings, ( ${ }^{a}$ and ( ${ }^{b}$ for some $a \neq b$ that end in the same state in M.

Consider appending $)^{a}$ to both strings.
Note that $\left({ }^{a}\right)^{a} \in P$, but $\left.{ }^{(b}\right)^{a} \notin P$ since $a \neq b$. But they both end up in the same state of $M$, call it q. Since $\left(^{a}\right)^{a} \in P$, state q must be an accept state but then M would incorrectly accept (b)a $\neq \mathrm{P}$ so $M$ does not recognize $P$.
Since M was arbitrary, no DFA recognizes P.

## Common error

- You need to consider every pair of strings in S, not just ones next to each other.
- e.g. $L=\{b i n a r y$ strings with an even \# of 0 's $\}$
- Attempt $S=\left\{0,0^{2}, 0^{3}, 0^{4}, 0^{5}, 0^{6}, 0^{7}, \ldots\right\}$
- For every consecutive pair, $0^{i}$ and $0^{i+1}$, you can use $t=0$ as an "accept" completion that is not shared.
- However, because there is a 2-state DFA for L all completions are shared between $0,0^{3}, 0^{5}, 0^{7}, \ldots$ and all completions are shared between $0^{2}, 0^{4}, 0^{6}, \ldots$


## Last class: Languages and Representations



## General Computation



## Computers from Thought

Computers as we know them grew out of a desire to avoid bugs in mathematical reasoning.

Hilbert in a famous speech at the International Congress of Mathematicians in 1900 set out the goal to mechanize all of mathematics.

In the 1930s, work of Gödel and Turing showed that Hilbert's program is impossible.

Gödel's Incompleteness Theorem
Undecidability of the Halting Problem
Both of these employ an idea we will see called diagonalization.
The ideas are simple but so revolutionary that their inventor Georg Cantor was shunned by the mathematical leaders of the time:

Poincaré referred to them as a "grave disease infecting mathematics."
Kronecker fought to keep Cantor's papers out of his journals.
Cantor spent the last 30 years of his life battling depression, living often in "sanatoriums" (psychiatric hospitals).

## Cardinality

What does it mean that two sets have the same size?


## Cardinality

What does it mean that two sets have the same size?


## 1-1 and onto

A function $f: A \rightarrow B$ is one-to-one (1-1) if every output corresponds to at most one input;
i.e. $f(x)=f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow x=x^{\prime}$ for all $x, x^{\prime} \in A$.

A function $\boldsymbol{f}: \boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{B}$ is onto if every output gets hit; i.e. for every $y \in B$, there exists $x \in A$ such that $f(x)=y$.


1-1 but not onto

## Cardinality

Definition: Two sets $A$ and $B$ have the same cardinality if there is a one-to-one correspondence between the elements of $A$ and those of $B$.
More precisely, if there is a 1-1 and onto function $f: A \rightarrow B$.


The definition also makes sense for infinite sets!

## Cardinality

Do the natural numbers and the even natural numbers have the same cardinality?

Yes!
$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllll}0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & \ldots\end{array}$
$0 \quad 2466810121416182022242628 \ldots$

What's the map $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{2 N}$ ? $\quad f(n)=2 n$

## Countable sets

Definition: A set is countable iff it has the same cardinality as some subset of $\mathbb{N}$.

Equivalent: A set $S$ is countable iff there is an onto function $\boldsymbol{g}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{S}$

Equivalent: A set $S$ is countable iff we can order the elements

$$
S=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, \ldots\right\}
$$

The set $\mathbb{Z}$ of all integers

The set $\mathbb{Z}$ of all integers

$$
\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccc}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 & 10 & 11 & 12 & 13 & 14 & \ldots \\
0 & 1 & -1 & 2 & -2 & 3 & -3 & 4 & -4 & 5 & -5 & 6 & -6 & 7 & -7 & \ldots
\end{array}
$$

## The set $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers

We can't do the same thing we did for the integers.
Between any two rational numbers there are an infinite number of others.

## The set of positive rational numbers

$\begin{array}{llllllll}1 / 1 & 1 / 2 & 1 / 3 & 1 / 4 & 1 / 5 & 1 / 6 & 1 / 7 & 1 / 8\end{array}$




6/1 6/2 6/3 6/4 6/5 6/6
7/1 7/2 7/3 7/4 7/5
... ... ... ... ...

## The set of positive rational numbers

The set of all positive rational numbers is countable.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{Q}^{+} \\
& =\{1 / 1,2 / 1,1 / 2,3 / 1,2 / 2,1 / 3,4 / 1,2 / 3,3 / 2,1 / 4,5 / 1,4 / 2,3 / 3,2 / 4,1 / 5, \ldots\}
\end{aligned}
$$

List elements in order of numerator+denominator, breaking ties according to denominator.

Only $\boldsymbol{k}$ numbers have total of sum of $\boldsymbol{k}+\mathbf{1}$, so every positive rational number comes up some point.

The technique is called "dovetailing."

## The set of positive rational numbers

| 1/1 | 1/2 | 1/3 | 1/4 | 1/5 | 1/6 | 1/7 | 1/8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2/1 | 2/2 | 2/3 | 2/4 | 2/5 | 2/6 | 2/7 | 2/8 |
| 3/1 | 3/2 | 3/3 | 3/4 | 3/5 | 3/6 | 3/7 | 3/8 |
| 4/1 | 4/2 | 4/3 | 4/4 | 4/5 | 4/6 | 4/7 | 4/8 |
| 5/1 | 5/2 | 5/3 | 5/4 | 5/5 | 5/6 | 5/7 | ... |
| 6/1 | 6/2 | 6/3 | 6/4 | 6/5 | 6/6 | ... |  |
| 7/1 | 7/2 | 7/3 | 7/4 | 7/5 | $\ldots$ |  |  |

The set $\mathbb{Q}$ of rational numbers

## Claim: $\Sigma^{*}$ is countable for every finite $\Sigma$

Dictionary/Alphabetical/Lexicographical order is bad

- Never get past the A's
- A, AA, AAA, AAAA, AAAAA, AAAAAA, ....


## Claim: $\Sigma^{*}$ is countable for every finite $\Sigma$

Dictionary/Alphabetical/Lexicographical order is bad

- Never get past the A's
- A, AA, AAA, AAAA, AAAAA, AAAAAA, ....

Instead, use same "dovetailing" idea, except that we first break ties based on length: only $|\Sigma|^{k}$ strings of length $k$.
e.g. $\{0,1\}^{*}$ is countable:
$\{\varepsilon, 0,1,00,01,10,11,000,001,010,011,100,101,110,111$,

## The set of all Java programs is countable

Java programs are just strings in $\Sigma^{*}$ where $\Sigma$ is the alphabet of ASCII characters.

Since $\Sigma^{*}$ is countable, so is the set of all Java programs.

OK OK... Is Everything Countable ?!!

## Are the real numbers countable?

```
Theorem [Cantor]:
The set of real numbers between 0 and 1 is not countable.
```

Proof will be by contradiction. Using a new method called diagonalization.

## Real numbers between 0 and 1: $[0,1)$

Every number between 0 and 1 has an infinite decimal expansion:

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 / 2 & =0.50000000000000000000000 \ldots \\
1 / 3 & =0.333333333333333333333333 \ldots \\
1 / 7 & =0.14285714285714285714285 \ldots \\
\pi-3 & =0.14159265358979323846264 \ldots \\
1 / 5 & =0.19999999999999999999999 \ldots \\
& =0.20000000000000000000000 \ldots
\end{aligned}
$$

Representation is unique except for the cases that the decimal expansion ends in all 0's or all 9's. We will never use the all 9's representation.

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:
$r_{1} \quad 0.50000000$...
$r_{2}$ 0.33333333...
$r_{3} \quad 0.14285714 \ldots$
$r_{4} \quad 0.14159265$...
$\mathrm{r}_{5} \quad 0.12122122$...
$r_{6} \quad 0.25000000$...
$r_{7} \quad 0.71828182 \ldots$
$r_{8} 0.61803394$...

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{1}$ | 0. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{2}$ | 0. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $r_{1}$ | 0. | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{2}$ | 0. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $r_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$. | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

|  | 0. 0. | 1 5 3 | 2 0 3 | 0 3 | 4 0 3 | Flipping rule: <br> Only if the other driver deserves it. |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{r}_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | ... | ... |
| ... | .... | ... | .... | .... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |  |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

| $r_{1}$ $r_{2}$ | 0. 0. | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 5^{1} \\ & 3 \end{aligned}$ | 2 0 3 | 3 0 3 | 4 0 3 | Flipping rule: <br> If digit is 5 , make it 1 . <br> If digit is not 5 , make it 5 . |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{r}_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | $2^{5}$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | $5^{1}$ | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $2^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $r_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 05 | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 |  | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{8}$ | 0. | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 45 | ... | ... |
| ... | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | .... | $\cdots$ | ... | ... | ... | ... | ... |  |

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

| $r_{1}$ $r_{2}$ | 0. | 1 5 3 | 2 0 3 | 3 0 3 | 4 0 3 | Flipping rule: <br> If digit is 5 , make it 1 . <br> If digit is not 5 , make it 5 . |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{r}_{3}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | $2^{5}$ | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{4}$ | 0. | 1 | 4 | 1 | $5^{1}$ | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{5}$ | 0. | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | $2^{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 2 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{6}$ | 0. | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $0^{5}$ | 0 | 0 | ... | ... |
| $\mathrm{r}_{7}$ | 0. | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  |

If diagonal element is $0 . x_{11} x_{22} x_{33} x_{44} x_{55} \cdots$ then let's call the flipped number 0. $\widehat{x}_{11} \widehat{x}_{22} \widehat{x}_{33} \widehat{x}_{44} \widehat{x}_{55} \cdots$

It cannot appear anywhere on the list!

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:


If diagonal element is $0 . x_{11} x_{22} x_{33} x_{44} x_{55} \cdots$ then let's call the flipped number $0 . \widehat{x}_{11} \widehat{x}_{22} \widehat{x}_{33} \widehat{x}_{44} \widehat{x}_{55} \cdots$

It cannot appear anywhere on the list!

## Proof that $[0,1)$ is not countable

Suppose, for the sake of contradiction, that there is a list of them:

| $\begin{array}{llllll}  & & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ \mathrm{r}_{1} & 0 . & 5^{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \mathrm{r}_{2} & 0 . & 3 & 3^{5} & 3 & 3 \end{array}$ | Flipping rule: <br> If digit is 5 , make it 1 . <br> If digit is not 5 , make it 5 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}r_{3} & 0\end{array}$ |  | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}r_{4} & 0 & 1 & 4 & 1 & 5^{1}\end{array}$ |  | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 |  |  |
| For every $\boldsymbol{n} \geq \mathbf{1}$ : $r_{n} \neq 0 . \widehat{x}_{11} \widehat{x}_{22} \widehat{x}_{33} \widehat{x}_{44} \widehat{x}_{55} \cdots$ <br> because the numbers differ on the $\boldsymbol{n}$-th digit! |  | $2^{5}$ 0 8 | 1 $0^{5}$ 1 | 2 0 8 8 | 0 2 | ... ... ... |  |

So the list is incomplete, which is a contradiction.
Thus the real numbers between 0 and 1 are not countable: "uncountable"

The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is uncountable

The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is uncountable
Supposed listing of all the functions:

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | $\ldots$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{f}_{1}$ | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{2}$ | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{3}$ | 1 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{4}$ | 1 | 4 | 1 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 5 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{5}$ | 1 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{6}$ | 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{7}$ | 7 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 8 | 2 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\mathrm{f}_{8}$ | 6 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 4 | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |
| $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ | $\ldots$ |  |

The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is uncountable
Supposed listing of all the functions:


The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is uncountable
Supposed listing of all the functions:


For all $n$, we have $D(n) \neq f_{n}(n)$. Therefore $D \neq f_{n}$ for any $\boldsymbol{n}$ and the list is incomplete! $\Rightarrow\{\boldsymbol{f} \mid \boldsymbol{f}: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0,1, \ldots, 9\}\}$ is not countable

## Uncomputable functions

We have seen that:

- The set of all (Java) programs is countable
- The set of all functions $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ is not countable

So: There must be some function $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, 9\}$ that is not computable by any program!

## Recall our language picture



## Uncomputable functions

Interesting... maybe.

Can we come up with an explicit function that is uncomputable?

