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## Epsilon Transitions



An "epsilon transition" is a transition in an NFA that doesn't eat any of the string. In other words, we may take it for free.

This NFA accepts the language 0*1*0*.

Construct an NFA for binary strings with an even \# of 1's or the substring 11


The top machine accepts strings with an even number of 1's The bottom machine accepts strings with the substring 11.

Since we have epsilon transitions to each, it's the union machine!

## CSE 311: Foundations of Computing

Lecture 23: NFAs, Regular expressions, and NFA $\rightarrow$ DFA


NFAs and regular expressions
Theorem: For any set of strings (language) $A$ described by a regular expression, there is an NFA that recognizes $A$.

Proof idea: Structural induction based on the recursive definition of regular expressions...

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R E G \rightarrow \phi|\varepsilon| a\left|R E G^{*}\right| R E G U R E G \mid \\
& \text { REG REG }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Three ways of thinking about NFAs

- Outside observer: Is there a path labeled by x from the start state to some final state?
- Perfect guesser: The NFA has input $x$ and whenever there is a choice of what to do it magically guesses a good one (if one exists)
- Parallel exploration: The NFA computation runs all possible computations on $x$ step-by-step at the same time in parallel



## Regular Expressions over $\Sigma$

- Basis:
$-\varnothing, \varepsilon$ are regular expressions
- $\mathbf{a}$ is a regular expression for any $\mathbf{a} \in \Sigma$
- Recursive step:
- If $A$ and $B$ are regular expressions then so are:
$(A \cup B)$
(AB)
A*


## Base Case

- Case $\varnothing$ :
- Case $\varepsilon$ :

- Case a:



## Inductive Hypothesis

- Suppose that for some regular expressions $A$ and $B$ there exist NFAs $N_{A}$ and $N_{B}$ such that $N_{A}$ recognizes the language given by $A$ and $N_{B}$ recognizes the language given by $B$



## Inductive Step

Case ( $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$ ):


Inductive Step
Case (AB):



Solution
(01 U1)*0


## NFAs and DFAs

Every DFA is an NFA

- DFAs have requirements that NFAs don't have

Can NFAs recognize more languages? No!

Theorem: For every NFA there is a DFA that recognizes exactly the same language

Build an NFA for (01 $\cup 1$ )*0

## NFAs and DFAs

Every DFA is an NFA

- DFAs have requirements that NFAs don't have

Can NFAs recognize more languages?

## Example: NFA to DFA



NFA
DFA

## Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

- Proof Idea:
- The DFA keeps track of ALL the states that the part of the input string read so far can reach in the NFA
- There will be one state in the DFA for each subset of states of the NFA that can be reached by some string


## Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

For each state of the DFA corresponding to a set S of states of the NFA and each symbol s

- Add an edge labeled sto state corresponding to T, the set of states of the NFA reached by
starting from some state in $S$, then
following one edge labeled by s, and
then following some number of edges labeled by $\varepsilon$
- T will be $\varnothing$ if no edges from $S$ labeled $s$ exist



## Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

## New start state for DFA

- The set of all states reachable from the start state of the NFA using only edges labeled $\varepsilon$


NFA
$\Rightarrow a, b, e, f$

DFA

## Conversion of NFAs to a DFAs

Final states for the DFA

- All states whose set contain some final state of the NFA


NFA


DFA

## Example: NFA to DFA



NFA


DFA



## Example: NFA to DFA



- In general the DFA might need a state for every subset of states of the NFA
- Power set of the set of states of the NFA
- n-state NFA yields DFA with at most $2^{n}$ states
- We saw an example where roughly $2^{n}$ is necessary Is the $\mathrm{n}^{\text {th }}$ char from the end a 1 ?
- The famous " $\mathrm{P}=\mathrm{NP}$ ?" question asks whether a similar blow-up is always necessary to get rid of nondeterminism for polynomial-time algorithms

