### CSE 303 Concepts and Tools for Software Development

Magdalena Balazinska Spring 2010 Lecture 23 - C/C++: const keyword Software Eng: Defensive Programming

#### Where We Are

- One goal of the class is to help you become more mature software developers
- Last time, we talked about
  - Software development process
  - Writing specifications
  - Testing
- Today we will talk about the implementation

## Good Software Development Practices

- Writing readable code
  - It's not just about the comments
  - Want whole program logic to be easy to follow
- Writing code that is easy to maintain
  - Well-defined components with clear interfaces
  - Loose coupling between components
- Writing robust code that
  - Gracefully reacts to unforeseeable usage
  - Gracefully handles various error conditions

- Software engineering principle: encapsulation

#### Readable Code: BAD Example

• What does the following code snippet do?

```
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
    // ...
    int i[argc-1];
    for (int j=0; j<argc-1; i[j]=atoi(argv[++j]));
    cout << ((argc-1) % 2 ? 'y' : 'n') << endl;
    // ...
}</pre>
```

#### Readable Code: GOOD Example

```
int main(int argc, char** argv) {
  // ...
  int size = argc - 1;
  int numbers[size];
  for (int i = 0; i < size; i++) {
    numbers[i] = atoi(argv[i+1]);
  }
  if ( (size % 2) == 0 ) {
    cout << "Number of elements is even" << endl;</pre>
  } else {
    cout << "Number of elements is odd" << endl;
  }
  // ...
```

## Why Is Readability Important?

- Your code is part of your documentation
  - Others need to understand it
  - You need to understand it, even after a while
- Maintenance
  - Fixing bugs is easier when code is readable
  - Adding new features is also easier
- Clear code helps clear thinking
- If your code is unreadable, it will quickly end-up in the garbage

### How to Improve Code Readability

- It's not just about the comments
- Use good levels of abstraction
  - Each function should have a single specific goal
  - The algorithm used by the function should be clear
  - Use small helper functions to hide details
- Make program logic easy to follow
- Some small things that also help
  - Write clear expressions and statements
  - Good variable names and indentation
  - Follow a coding standard

## Good Software Development Practices

- Writing readable code
  - It's not just about the comments
  - Want whole program logic to be easy to follow
- Writing code that is easy to maintain
  - Well-defined components with clear interfaces
  - Loose coupling between components
- Writing robust code that
  - Gracefully reacts to unforeseeable usage
  - Gracefully handles various error conditions

- Software engineering principle: encapsulation

# Writing Robust Code

- Defensive programming
  - Check your function inputs
  - Check buffer boundaries
  - Check for errors, catch and handle exceptions
- Enforce encapsulation (data hiding)
  - Important software engineering principle
- Other general practices
  - Strive for simplicity, perform code reviews
  - Check invariants (helps testing/debugging)
    - Example: list is always in sorted order
  - Reuse well-tested code: standard libraries

### **Check Your Function Inputs**

- Famous last words:
  - "No one will pass null as argument. Why would they?"
  - "No one will ever enter a name longer than X"
  - "I will first get it to work. I will add all the error handling later, when I have time"
- Golden rules
  - Assume callers do not know what they are doing
  - Check that inputs are valid!
  - Check preconditions if possible

### **Check Your Function Inputs**

- Example from StringList.cc
- Always check inputs! Handle errors as per specs
- Check preconditions if possible
  - For preconditions, assert is very convenient

```
void StringList::insert (cond char *original) {
```

```
// CHECK: Checking all inputs
// CHECK: Checking preconditions
assert( original );
assert( strlen(original) < BUF_SIZE );
...</pre>
```

#### **Check Buffer Boundaries**

- Every time you manipulate an array or string
  - Make sure you are staying within bounds
- Example from StringList.cc

```
void StringList::insert(const char* original) {
    Node node = new Node();
    ...
    strncpy(node->original,original,BUF_SIZE);
    ...
}
```

### **Check For Errors**

- Every time you invoke a function
  - Check if the function can return an error
    - Read the specification for that function
    - One reason why good specifications are important
  - Assume it will sometimes return that error
  - Handle the error properly
- Many examples
  - Opening a file can fail (fopen)
  - Reading data from a stream can fail (fscanf)
  - Etc.

#### **Check For Errors**

• Example from StringList.cc

}

```
void StringList::insert (const char *original) {
   Node *node = new Node();
   if ( !node ) {
      cerr << "Out of memory\n";
      return;
   }
...</pre>
```

# Writing Robust Code

- Defensive programming
  - Check your function inputs
  - Check buffer boundaries
  - Check for errors, catch and handle exceptions
- Enforce encapsulation (data hiding)
  - Important software engineering principle
- Other general practices
  - Strive for simplicity, perform code reviews
  - Check invariants (helps testing/debugging)
    - Example: list is always in sorted order
  - Reuse well-tested code: standard libraries

#### Encapsulation

- Key concept in object-oriented programming
- A class encapsulates attributes and functions
  - Classes correspond to "abstract data types"
  - A class "exports" an interface
  - All communication goes through interface
  - No one is allowed to manipulate data directly
- Information hiding
  - No one should know about implementation nor representation (the internal data structures of the class)
- Example: StringList class
  - User of the class does not know how list is implemented

### **Check Invariants**

- Internal class representation often has some invariants: i.e., properties that always hold
- Example of invariant:
  - "Linked list is always in sorted order"
- Add a function: check\_list
  - Returns true if function is in order
  - Returns false otherwise
- Inside your functions: insert and delete
  - Add:assert(check\_list(\*head));
- This practice helps early bug detection

- It is easy to break encapsulation by accident
- Typical problem: caller and callee have pointers to the same object
- Caller can change internal representation of the callee! Very BAD!
- A very common source of errors

• Example 1: Error when handling inputs

```
void StringList::insert(const char *original) {
```

```
Node *node = new Node();
...
node->original = original;
```

}

• In the example above, the caller and callee point to the same array of characters in memory. This is bad.

• Example 2: Error when handling outputs

}

```
Const Node*
StringList::lookup (const char *original) {
```

```
Node *element = _head;
// Iterate through list and find string
// ...
return element;
```

• In this example, the caller and callee point to the same Node element in memory. This is bad even with a const qualifier

- In the lookup example, caller cannot change the element returned: GOOD
- However, caller can still free the object: BAD
- Also, caller has a pointer to an element that someone else can free by removing the string from the list: BAD

## **Information Hiding Solutions**

- Solution 1: Copying
  - Copy all inputs before integrating them into internal representation
  - Return copies of internal elements
- Solution 2: Immutable objects
  - Immutable objects can never be changed
  - But watch-out for new/delete
- Solution 3: Using the const type qualifier
  - Good idea, but be careful
  - Once again, watch-out for new/delete

## The "const" Type Qualifier

- Available in C and in C++
- Enforced at compile time

}

• Example 1: Using const with inputs

```
void StringList::insert(const char *original) {
   // Following causes compile-time error
   original[0] = ...;
```

## The "const" Type Qualifier

• Example 2: Using const with return values

```
const Node*
StringList::lookup (const char *original) {
    Node *element = head;
    // ...
    return element;
}
// Caller cannot change the element returned
const Node *element = list.lookup(my_string);
// And following causes compile time error
element->original[0] = 'a';
```

• BUT, caller can still delete object, so we would still want to make a copy instead of returning a const pointer

### "const" Can Get Very Confusing

- Non-constant pointer to constant data
  - const char \*ptr
  - Cannot change the content of these locations
  - Can make ptr point to different memory locations
- Constant pointer to non-constant data
  - char \* const ptr = ...;
  - Cannot change what ptr is pointing to
  - Can change the content of pointer to location
- Can also have const pointer to const data and a non-const pointer to non-const data

### **Basic Principle**

- Principle of least privilege
  - Give a function enough access to data to accomplish task. Not more.
- Note: in C++, you can declare a member function inside a class to be const
  - Means that function is not allowed to modify any data members
  - Simply specify keyword const at end of prototype

```
void print() const;
bool is_empty() const;
```

# Writing Robust Code

- Defensive programming
  - Check your function inputs
  - Check buffer boundaries
  - Check for errors, catch and handle exceptions
- Enforce encapsulation (data hiding)
  - Important software engineering principle
- Other general practices
  - Strive for simplicity, perform code reviews
  - Check invariants (helps testing/debugging)
    - Example: list is always in sorted order
  - Reuse well-tested code: standard libraries

### **Towards Security**

- Robust software can protect against
  - Buffer overflow attacks
  - Crashes caused by invalid inputs
- But security is much harder than that
- Example 1: denial of service attack
  - Send huge numbers of requests to a server
  - For example, keep adding elements to list
- Example 2: timing attack
  - Measure time system takes to fulfill a request
  - Example: timing.c

### Summary

- You now know some basic software engineering
  - Software development process
    - Main steps involved in building a software system
  - Specifications
    - Why we need them and how to write simple ones
    - We talked about informal specifications only
  - Testing: why and how
  - Writing robust and readable code
- There is much more to software engineering
- But what you know should help in future classes