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Profilers

A profiler monitors and reports (performance) information about a program execution.

They are useful for “debugging correct programs” by learning where programs consume most time and/or space.

“90/10 rule of programs” (and often worse for new programs) – a profiler helps you “find the 10”.

But: The tool can be misused and misleading.
What profilers tell you

Different profilers profile different things.

gprof, a profiler for code produced by gcc is widely available and pretty typical:

- **Call counts:** # of times each function \( a \) calls each function \( b \)
  - And the simpler fact: # of times \( a \) was called

- **Time samples:** # of times the program was executing \( a \) when “the profiler woke up to check where the program was”.

Neither is quite what you want (as we’ll see later), but they’re semi-easy and semi-quick to do:

- **Call counts:** Add code to every function call to update a table indexed by function pairs.

- **Time samples:** Use the processor’s timer; wake up and see where the program is.
Using gprof

- Compile with -pg on the right.
  - When you create the .o (for call counts)
  - When you create the executable (for time samples)
- Run the program (creates (overwrites) gmon.out)
- Run gprof (on executable and gmon.out) to get human-readable results.
- Read the results (takes a little getting used to).
Getting useful info

• The information depends on your inputs! (Always know what you’re profiling)

• Statistical sampling requires a reasonable number of samples
  – Probably want at very least a few thousand
  – Can run a program over and over and use gprof -s (learn on your own; write a shell-script)

• Make sure performance matters
  – Is 10% faster worth uglier or buggier code?
  – Do you have better things to do (documentation, testing, ...)?
Performance tuning

- Never tune until you know the bottleneck (that’s what gprof is for, but it doesn’t tell you how to tune).
- Rarely overtune to some inputs at the expense of others.
- Always focus on the overall algorithm first.
- Think doubly-hard about making non-modular changes.
- Focus on low-level tricks only if you really need to (< 5 times in your career?)
- See if compiler flags (e.g., -O) are enough.

Note: Performance tuning a library is harder because you want to do well for “unknown programs and inputs”.
Misleading Fact #1

Cumulative times are based on call estimation. They can be really, really wrong, but usually aren’t.

```c
int g = 0;
void c(int i) {
    if(i) return;
    for(; i < 100000000; ++i)
        ++g;
}
void a() { c(0); }
void b() { c(1); }
int main(int argc, char**argv) { a(); b(); return 0; }
```

Conclusion: You must understand what your profiler measures and what it presents to you. gprof doesn’t lie (if you read the manual)
Misleading Fact #2

Sampling errors (for time samples) can be caused by too few samples, or by periodic sampling.

```c
void a() { /* takes 0.09 s */ }
void b() { /* takes 0.01 s */ }
int main(int argc, char**argv) {
    for(; i < 10000; ++i) {
        a();
        b();
    }
}
```

This probably doesn’t happen much and better profilers can use random intervals to avoid it.

Related fact: Measurement code changes timing (an uncertainty principle).
Poor man’s profiling

The `time` command is more useful because no measurement overhead, but less useful because you get only whole-program numbers.

- real: roughly “wall-clock”
- user: time spent running the code in the program
- system: time the O/S spent doing things on behalf of the program

Not precise for small numbers

Misleading Fact #3: `gprof` does not measure system time?

Effects on real time: Machine load, disk access, I/O

Effects on system time: I/O to screen, file, or `/dev/null`
Compiler Optimization

Compilers must:

- Trade “compile-time” for “code-quality”
- Trade “amount of code” for “specialization of code”
- Make guesses about how code will be used.

You can affect the trade-off via “optimization flags” – definitely easier but less predictable than modifying your code.

gcc is not a great optimizer:

- No promises; it could slow your program down (unlikely, but it can happen)

Bottom line: Remember to “turn optimizations on” if it matters.
Final Words of Wisdom

“Premature optimization is the root of all evil”

Donald Knuth